arXiv:math/0601472v1 [math.QA] 19 Jan 2006

REPRESENTATIONS OF QUANTUM GROUPS DEFINED OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS II

BEN L. COX AND THOMAS J. ENRIGHT

Dedicated to V. S. Varadarajan

Abstract. In this article we study the structure of highest weight modules for quantum groups defined over a commutative ring with particular emphasis on the structure theory for invariant bilinear forms on these modules.

1. Introduction and Summary of Results.

Let v be an indeterminate and k a field of characteristic zero. Let U be the quantized enveloping algebra defined over $k(v)$ with generators $K^{\pm 1}, E, F$ and relations

$$[E,F]=\frac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}},\quad KEK^{-1}=v^2E,$$
$$KFK^{-1}=v^{-2}F\quad\text{and}\quad KK^{-1}=K^{-1}K=1.$$

Let $\mathbf{U}^0$ be the subalgebra generated by $K^{\pm 1}$ and let B be the subalgebra generated by $\mathbf{U}^0$ and E. More precisely we are following the notation given in [CE95] where we take $I=\{i\}$, $i\cdot i=2$, $Y=\mathbb{Z}[I]\cong\mathbb{Z}$, $X=\hom(\mathbb{Z}[I],\mathbb{Z})\cong\mathbb{Z}$, $F=F_i$, $E=E_i$, and $K=K_i$.

Let R be the power series ring in $T-1$ with coefficients in $k(v)$ i.e.

$$R=k(v)[[T-1]]:=\lim_{\leftarrow}\frac{k(v)[T,T^{-1}]}{(T-1)^i}.$$

Set $\mathcal{K}$ equal to the field of fractions of R. Let s be the involution of R induced by $T\to T^{-1}$ i.e. the involution that sends T to $T^{-1}=1/(1+(T-1))=\sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i(T-1)^i$. Let the subscript R denote the extension of scalars from $k(v)$ to R , e.g. ${}_R\mathbf{U}=R\otimes_{k(v)}\mathbf{U}$. For any representation (π,A) of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ we can twist the representation in two ways by composing with automorphisms of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$. The first is $\pi\circ(s\otimes 1)$ while the second is $\pi\circ(1\otimes\Theta)$ for any automorphism Θ of $\mathbf{U}$. We designate the corresponding ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules by A^s and A^Θ. Twisting the action by both s and Θ we obtain the composite $(A^s)^\Theta=(A^\Theta)^s$ which we denote by $A^{s\Theta}$.

Let m denote the homomorphism of ${}_R\mathbf{U}^0$ onto R with $m(K)=T$. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}$ let $m+\lambda$ denote the homomorphism of $\mathbf{U}^0$ to R with $(m+\lambda)(K)=Tv^\lambda$. We use the additive notation $m+\lambda$ to indicate that this map originated in the classical setting from an addition of two algebra homomorphisms. It however is not a sum of two homomorphisms but rather a product. Let $R_{m+\lambda}$ be the corresponding ${}_RB$-module and define the Verma module

$$(1.0.1)\qquad {}_RM(m+\lambda)={}_R\mathbf{U}\otimes_{{}_RB}R_{m+\lambda-\rho}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B67, 81R10.

Let $\rho_1 : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ be the algebra isomorphism determined by the assignment

$$\rho_1(E) = -vF, \quad \rho_1(F) = -v^{-1}E, \quad \rho_1(K) = K^{-1}. \tag{1.0.2}$$

Define also an algebra anti-automorphism $\varrho : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ by

$$\varrho(E) = vKF, \quad \varrho(F) = vK^{-1}E, \quad \varrho(K_\mu) = K_\mu. \tag{1.0.3}$$

These maps are related through the antipode S of $\mathbf{U}$ by $\varrho = \varrho_1 S$.

For ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules M, N and $\mathcal{F}$, let $\mathbb{P}(M,N)$ and $\mathbb{P}(M,N,\mathcal{F})$ denote the space of R-bilinear maps of $M \times N$ to R and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively, with the following invariance condition:

$$\sum x_{(1)} * \phi(Sx_{(3)} \cdot a, \varrho(x_{(2)})b) = \mathbf{e}(x)\phi(a,b) \tag{1.0.4}$$

where $\Delta \otimes 1 \circ \Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)}$, $\mathbf{e} : \mathbf{U} \to k(v)$ is the counit and $*$ denote the action twisted by ρ_1; in other words $x * n := \rho_1(x)n$. If we let $\hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(A,B)$ denote the set of module ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphisms, then one can check on generators of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ that $\mathbb{P}(M,N,\mathcal{F}) \cong \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(M \otimes_R N^{\rho_1}, {}_R\mathcal{F}^{\varrho})$ (see [Jan96, 3.10.6]). Formula (1.0.4) corrects an error in [CE95, 6.2.2]. Let $\mathbb{P}(N) = \mathbb{P}(N,N)$ denote the R-module of invariant forms on N.

For the rest of the introduction we let M denote the ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ Verma module with highest weight Tv^{-1} ; i.e. $M = M(m)$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be any finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-module. A natural parameterization for $\mathbb{P}(M \otimes {}_R\mathcal{F})$ was given in [CE95]. Fix an invariant form ϕ_M on M normalized as in (.). For each ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism $\beta : {}_R\mathcal{E} \otimes_R \mathcal{F}^{\rho_1} \to {}_R\mathbf{U}$ define what we call the *induced form* χ_{β,ϕ_M} by the formula, for $e \in_R \mathcal{E}, f \in_R \mathcal{F},\ m, n \in N$,

$$\chi_{\beta,\phi_M}(m \otimes e, n \otimes f) = \phi_M(m, \beta(e \otimes f) * n). \tag{1.0.5}$$

1.1. **Proposition.** *Suppose $\beta : {}_R\mathcal{F} \otimes {}_R\mathcal{F}^{\rho_1} \to {}_R\mathbf{U}$ is a module homomorphism with ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ having the adjoint action. Then $M \otimes {}_R\mathcal{F}$ decomposes as the χ_{β,ϕ_M}-orthogonal sum of indecomposable ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules.*

We now begin the description of our main result: Recall from [CE95] we define a *cycle* (for A) to be a pair (A, Ψ) where A is a $\mathbf{U}$ (or ${}_R\mathbf{U}$) module and Ψ is a module homomorphism

$$\Psi : A_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \ \to \ A. \tag{1.0.6}$$

Here A_π is defined to be $A_F/\iota A$ where $\iota : A \to A_F$ is the canonical embedding of the module A into its localization A_F with respect to root vector F. Note that modules of the form $A_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$ above appear naturally in other mathematical work (see [AS03] and [Ark04]) besides our own (see [CE95]). We choose a homomorphism $\Psi : M_F \to M$ and set

$$\bar{\Psi} := \Psi \otimes sT''_1 \circ L^{-1} : (M_\pi \otimes \mathcal{E})^{sT'_{-1}} \to M \otimes \mathcal{E}. \tag{1.0.7}$$

(The linear map L is defined in Lusztig's book - see also (3.1.6).) Let $\iota : \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F}) \to \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(M \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\rho_1}, R)$ be the canonical isomorphism with $\iota(\chi)(a \otimes b) = \chi(a,b)$. Note that $a \otimes b \in M \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\rho_1}$ on the left hand side, while $(a,b) \in M \otimes \mathcal{E} \times N \otimes \mathcal{F}$ on the right hand side. Define $\chi \mapsto \chi^\sharp$ in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F}))$ by

$$\iota(\chi^\sharp)(\bar{\Psi}(a) \otimes \bar{\Psi}(b)) := s \circ \iota(\chi_\pi) \circ L(a \otimes b) \tag{1.0.8}$$

for $a \in (M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$, $b \in (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}\rho_1}$ and $\chi \in \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_m$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ be X-admissible finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-modules given in §5.1 with basis $u_k^{(m)}$, $0 \leq k \leq m$. Fix a homomorphism $\beta :_R \mathcal{F}_m \otimes_R \mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1} \to {}_R F(\mathbf{U})$ which has the form (8.2.1)

$$\beta = \sum_{m,n,k} r_k^{m,n} \beta_{2k}^{m,n} \tag{1.0.9}$$

where $r_k^{m,n} \in R$, and $\beta_{2r}^{m,n}$ is defined by

$$\beta_{2r}^{m,n}(u^{(m+n-2q)}) = \delta_{2r,m+n-2q} E^{(r)} K^{-r}. \tag{1.0.10}$$

Our main symmetry result on induced forms is Theorem 8.5:

1.2. **Theorem.** *Let M be the Verma module of highest weight Tv^{-1} (so that $\lambda = 0$) and assume that $\beta :_R \mathcal{F}_m \otimes_R \mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1} \to {}_R F(\mathbf{U})$ has the form (8.2.1). If ϕ is a ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-invariant pairing on M satisfying $s \circ \phi_\pi \circ L = \phi \circ (\Psi \otimes \Psi)$, then*

$$\chi^{\sharp}_{\beta,\phi} = \chi_{s\beta,\phi} \ . \tag{1.0.11}$$

Most of the results in sections 1-7 are used in the proof of this theorem. In sections 8 and 9 we give a taste of how one can use induced forms to get information on filtrations of modules. We plan to pursue this in future work.

Let $\Pi = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ be the set of simple roots and $\gamma \in \Pi$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$. In the last section we give examples of how one can relate the Shapovalov form for $U_v(\mathfrak{g})$, to the Shapovalov form on a reductive subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{a})$ generated $E_\alpha, F_\alpha, K_\gamma$, $\gamma \in \Pi$. In particular we explicitly describe the coefficients $r_k^{m,n}$ for particular β that appear in the study of these Shapovalov forms. We will expand on this study in future work.

2. q-Calculus.

2.1. **Definitions.** As many before us have done, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} [m] &:= \frac{v^m - v^{-m}}{v - v^{-1}}, \\ [m]_{(n)} &:= [m] \cdot [m-1] \cdots [m-n+1] \\ [m]! &:= [m]_{(m)}, \qquad [0]! := 1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{cases} \frac{[m]_{(n)}}{[n]!} & \text{for} \quad n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq 0$, Gauss' versions of the Binomial Theorem are

$$\prod_{l=1}^{j} (1 - zv^{2(l-1)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} v^{k(j-1)} z^k \tag{2.1.1}$$

and

$$\prod_{l=1}^{j} (1 - zv^{2(l-1)})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} -j \\ k \end{bmatrix} v^{k(j-1)} z^k \tag{2.1.2}$$

See [Ma93, 1.157, 1.158]. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ define

$$[T;r] := \frac{v^rT - v^{-r}T^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}, \tag{2.1.3}$$

$$[T;r]_{(j)} := [T;r][T;r-1]\cdots[T;r-j+1], \quad [T;r]_{(0)} := [T;r]^{(0)} := 1, \quad \text{if} \quad j > 0,$$
$$[T;r]^{(j)} := [T;r+1]\cdots[T;r+j]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T;r \\ j \end{bmatrix} := \begin{cases} [T;r]_{(j)}/[j]! & \text{if} \quad j \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad j < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1.4}$$

Note that $[T;\lambda]^{(k)}$ is invertible in R for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $[T;\lambda+1]_{(k)}$ is invertible provided $\lambda + 1 \geq k$ or $\lambda < 0$ $(k \geq 0)$. On the other hand $[T;\lambda+1]_{(k)}$ is divisible by $T-1$ and not by $(T-1)^2$ for $k > \lambda + 1$ due to the fact that $[T,r]$ is divisible by exactly $(T-1)^i$ where $i = 0$ if $r \neq 0$ and $i = 1$ for $r = 0$. A useful related computation is

$$[r]![T;r]^{-1}_{(r)} \equiv \left(1 + \left(r - \frac{2}{\{1\}}\sum_{k=1}^{r}\frac{v^k}{[k]}\right)(T-1)\right) \quad \text{mod } (T-1)^2 \tag{2.1.5}$$

where $\{1\} = v - v^{-1}$. Indeed

$$[T;k]^{-1} = T[k]^{-1}\left(\sum_{i\geq 0}\left(\frac{v^k}{1-v^k}\right)^i(T-1)^i\right)\left(\sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j\left(\frac{v^k}{1+v^k}\right)^j(T-1)^j\right)$$

This implies (2.1.5) above.

2.2. **Identities.** Two useful formulae for us will be

$$\begin{bmatrix} s-u \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \sum_p (-1)^p v^{\pm(p(s-u-r+1)+ru)}\begin{bmatrix} u \\ p \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} s-p \\ r-p \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u+v+r-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \sum_p v^{\pm(p(u+v)-ru)}\begin{bmatrix} u+p-1 \\ p \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} v+r-p-1 \\ r-p \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2.2}$$

which come from [Ma93, 1.160a, 1.161a], respectively.

We have a yet another variant of the Binomial Theorem:

2.1. **Lemma.**

$$v^{k(n+1-k)}[T;2k-n-1]_{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j v^{j(n-2k+1)}T^{-j}\begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}[n-k+j]_{(j)}[T;k]_{(k-j)}$$

Proof. The proof follows from an application of Gauss' binomial theorem and (2.2.1) □

2.2. **Lemma** (Chu-Vandermonde formula). *For integers k and r with $0 \leq k \leq r$ we have*

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^l v^{l(r-k+1)}\begin{bmatrix} T;k \\ l \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} T;r+k-l \\ k-l \end{bmatrix} = v^{-k^2}T^{-k}\begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2.3}$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by using the Taylor series expansion in T together with Gauss' binomial theorem. □

3. U-algebra Automorphisms and Intertwining Maps.

3.1. Following Lusztig, [Lus93, Chapter 5], we let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the category whose objects are $\mathbb{Z}$- graded $\mathbf{U}$-modules $M = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M^n$ such that

(i) E, F act locally nilpotently on M,
(ii) $Km = v^n m$ for all $m \in M^n$.

Fix $e = \pm 1$ and let $M \in \mathcal{C}'$. Define Lusztig's automorphisms $T'_e, T''_e : M \to M$ by

$$T'_e(m) := \sum_{a,b,c;a-b+c=n} (-1)^b v^{e(-ac+b)} F^{(a)} E^{(b)} F^{(c)} m, \tag{3.1.1}$$

and

$$T''_e(m) := \sum_{a,b,c;-a+b-c=n} (-1)^b v^{e(-ac+b)} E^{(a)} F^{(b)} E^{(c)} m \tag{3.1.2}$$

for $m \in M^n$. In the above $E^{(a)} := E^a/[a]!$ is the ath *divided power of* E.

Lusztig defined automorphisms T'_e and T''_e on $\mathbf{U}$ by

$$T'_e(E^{(p)}) = (-1)^p v^{ep(p-1)} K^{ep} F^{(p)}, \qquad T'_e(F^{(p)}) = (-1)^p v^{-ep(p-1)} E^{(p)} K^{-ep}$$

and

$$T''_{-e}(E^{(p)}) = (-1)^p v^{ep(p-1)} F^{(p)} K^{-ep}, \qquad T''_{-e}(F^{(p)}) = (-1)^p v^{-ep(p-1)} K^{ep} E^{(p)}.$$

One can check on generators that

$$\rho_1 \circ T'_{-1} = T'_{-1} \circ \rho_1. \tag{3.1.3}$$

If M is in $\mathcal{C}'$, $x \in \mathbf{U}$ and $m \in M$, then we have

$$\Theta(x \cdot m) = \Theta(x)\Theta m \tag{3.1.4}$$

for $\Theta = T'_e$ or $\Theta = T''_e$ (see [Lus93, 37.1.2]). The last identity can be interpreted to say that Θ and $\Theta \otimes s$ are intertwining maps;

$$\Theta : M \to M^{\Theta} \qquad \Theta \otimes s \ : {}_R M \to {}_R M^{\Theta\otimes s}. \tag{3.1.5}$$

To simplify notation we shall sometimes write $s\Theta$ in place of $\Theta \otimes s$.

We now describe the explicit action of Θ on M.

3.1. **Lemma** ([Lus93, Prop. 5.2.2])**.** *Let $m \geq 0$ and $j, h \in [0, m]$ be such that $j + h = m$.*

(a) If $\eta \in M^m$ is such that $E\eta = 0$, then $T'_e(F^{(j)}\eta) = (-1)^j v^{e(jh+j)} F^{(h)}\eta$.
(b) If $\zeta \in M^{-m}$ is such that $F\zeta = 0$, then $T''_e(E^{(j)}\zeta) = (-1)^j v^{e(jh+j)} E^{(h)}\zeta$.

Let $F(\mathbf{U})$ denote the ad-locally finite submodule of $\mathbf{U}$. We know from [JL94] that $F(\mathbf{U})$ is tensor product of harmonic elements $\mathcal{H}$ and the center $Z(U)$. Here $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{2m}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2m} = \operatorname{ad} \mathbf{U}(EK^{-1})$.

There is another category that we will need and it is defined as follows: Let M be a ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module. One says that M is ${}_R\mathbf{U}^0$*-semisimple* if M is the direct sum of R-modules M^μ where K acts by $T\ v^\mu$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$; i.e. by weight $m + \mu$. Then $\mathcal{C}_R$ denotes the category of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules M for which F acts locally nilpotently and M is ${}_R\mathbf{U}^0$-semisimple.

For M and N two objects in $\mathcal{C}'$ or one of them is in ${}_R\mathcal{C}$, Lusztig defined the linear map $L : M \otimes N \to M \otimes N$ given by

$$L(x \otimes y) = \sum_n (-1)^n v^{-n(n-1)/2} \{n\} F^{(n)} x \otimes E^{(n)} y \tag{3.1.6}$$

where $\{n\} := \prod_{a=1}^n (v^a - v^{-a})$ and $\{0\} := 1$. One can show

$$L^{-1}(x \otimes y) = \sum_n v^{n(n-1)/2} \{n\} F^{(n)} x \otimes E^{(n)} y.$$

3.2. **Lemma** ([Lus93]). *Let M and N be two objects in $\mathcal{C}'$. Then $T_1'' L(z) = (T_1'' \otimes T_1'')(z)$ for all $z \in M \otimes N$.*

3.3. **Lemma.** *Let M be a module in $\mathcal{C}_R$ and N a module in $\mathcal{C}'$. Then for $x \in M^t$ and $y \in N^s$ we have*

$$FL(x \otimes y) = L(x \otimes Fy + v^s Fx \otimes y)$$
$$EL(x \otimes y) = L(Ex \otimes y + v^{-t} T^{-1} x \otimes Ey)$$

Proof. Let $^-: k(v) \to k(v)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism given by sending v to v^{-1}. More over let $^-: \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ denote the unique $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\bar{E} = E, \quad \bar{F} = F, \quad \bar{K}_\mu = K_{-\mu}, \quad \overline{fu} = \bar{f}\bar{u}, \quad f \in k(v),\ u \in \mathbf{U}.$$

From [Lus93, Theorem 4.1.2], we have $\Delta(u)L = L\overline{\Delta(\bar{u})}$ for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Let $x \in M^t$ and $y \in \mathcal{E}^s$. In particular we deduce

$$FL(x \otimes y) = L\overline{\Delta(F)}(x \otimes y) = L(v^s Fx \otimes y + x \otimes Fy).$$

Similarly $EL(x \otimes y) = L(Ex \otimes y + v^{-t} T^{-1} x \otimes Ey)$. □

3.4. **Corollary.** *Let M be a module in $\mathcal{C}_R$ and $\mathcal{E}$ a module in $\mathcal{C}'$. Then L defines an isomorphism of the $\mathbf{U}$-module $M^{T'_{-1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{T'_{-1}}$ onto $(M \otimes \mathcal{E})^{T'_{-1}}$.*

Proof. The proof follows from a direct calculation on weight vectors in M and $\mathcal{E}$. □

Remark: It seems that one should be able to prove Corollary 3.4 through the use of Lemma 3.2, however one must take into account that T'_e may not be defined on M.

Set

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \sum_p (-1)^p v^{3\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} E^{(p)} K^p F^{(p)}, \tag{3.1.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_p v^{-3\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} E^{(p)} K^{-p} F^{(p)}. \tag{3.1.8}$$

and note that $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ are well defined operators on lowest weight modules. These maps are similar to some operators defined by Kashiwara. See for example the Π_t in [Lus93, 16.1].

3.5. **Lemma.** *Suppose that M and N be highest weight modules with $\psi_M : M_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \to M$, $\psi_N : N_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \to N$ are ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphisms and ϕ a ρ-invariant form on $M \times N$. Then*

$$\phi \circ (\psi_M \otimes \psi_N) \circ L^{-1} = \phi \circ (\psi_M \otimes \psi_N) \circ (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes 1). \tag{3.1.9}$$

Proof. Let $m \in M_\pi$, $n \in N_\pi^{\rho_1}$ and let $*$ denote the action on $N_\pi^{\rho_1}$ so that $x * n = \rho_1(x)n$ where juxtaposition means the action on N_π. Recall L^{-1} is defined on $M_\pi \otimes_R N_\pi^{\rho_1}$, but one can view it as an ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism from $(M_\pi \otimes_R N_\pi^{\rho_1})^{sT'_{-1}}$ to $M_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \otimes_R (N_\pi^{sT'_{-1}})^{\rho_1}$. Hence the left hand side of (3.1.9) is in $\mathrm{Hom}_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}((M_\pi \otimes_R N_\pi^{\rho_1})^{sT'_{-1}}, R)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi \circ (\psi_M \otimes \psi_N) \circ L^{-1}(m \otimes n) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} v^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} \phi(\psi_M(F^{(p)}m), \psi_N(E^{(p)} * n)) \\
&= \sum_{p \geq 0} v^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} \phi(\rho(T''_1 \rho_1 E^{(p)}) \psi_N(F^{(p)}m), \psi(n)) \\
&= \sum_{p \geq 0} v^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} \phi(\psi_M(T'_{-1} \rho T''_1 \rho_1(E^{(p)}) F^{(p)}m), \psi_N(n)) \\
&= \phi\left(\psi_M\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1}m\right), \psi_N(n)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

since $T'_{-1}\rho T''_1 \rho_1(E^{(p)}) = (-1)^p v^{p(p-1)} E^{(p)} K^p$. ☐

A similar argument shows that if that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-modules with $T''_1 : \mathcal{F}^{T'_{-1}} \to \mathcal{F}$, $T''_1 : \mathcal{E}^{T'_{-1}} \to \mathcal{E}$, $\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphisms and ϕ a ρ-invariant form on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{E}$, then

$$\phi \circ (T''_1 \otimes T''_1) \circ L^{-1} = \phi \circ (T''_1 \otimes T''_1) \circ (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes 1). \tag{3.1.10}$$

Observe that $\rho(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}$.

On the other hand

$$\phi \circ L = \phi \circ (\mathcal{L} \otimes 1). \tag{3.1.11}$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi \circ L(m \otimes n) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p v^{\frac{-p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} \phi(F^{(p)}m, E^{(p)} * n) \\
&= \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p v^{\frac{-p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} \phi(\rho(\rho_1 E^{(p)})(F^{(p)}m), n) \\
&= \sum_{p \geq 0} v^{\frac{-3p(p-1)}{2}} \{p\} \phi(E^{(p)} K^{-p} F^{(p)}m), n).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$. Observe that $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathcal{L}^{-1}}$ where $^- : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras defined by $\overline{E} = E$, $\overline{F} = F$, $\overline{K} = K^{-1}$ and $\overline{fx} = \overline{f}\overline{x}$ for $f \in k(v)$, $x \in \mathbf{U}$. Here $\overline{v} = v^{-1}$ with $^-$ fixing $\mathbb{Q}$. See [Lus93, 3.1.12] for more details.

Moreover we can prove that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is an intertwining map i.e. $\mathcal{L}^{-1} : M_\pi^{T'_1} \to M_\pi^{T'_{-1}}$ is a module homomorphism for M a highest weight module:

3.6. **Lemma.** $T'_{-1}(u)\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}T'_1(u)$ *as operators on* M_π *for all* $u \in {}_R\mathbf{U}$. *From this we also get* $T'_1(u)\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}T'_{-1}(u)$ *for all* u.

Proof. The proof follows from a direct calculation on generators of $\mathbf{U}$. ☐

4. Invariant Forms and Liftings

4.1. Elements of $\mathbb{P}(M,N)$ are called *invariant pairings* and for $M = N$, set $\mathbb{P}(M) = \mathbb{P}(M,M)$ and call the elements *invariant forms* on M.

4.1. **Lemma.** *(1) For ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules M, N and $\mathcal{F}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(M,N,\mathcal{F}) \cong \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(M \otimes_R N^{\rho_1}, {}_R\mathcal{F}^{\varrho}) \tag{4.1.1}$$

(2) If $\mathcal{F} = R$ is the trivial ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module, then the condition $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(M,N)$, is equivalent to

$$\phi(u\,a, b) = \phi(a, \rho(u)b) \tag{4.1.2}$$

for all $a \in M$, $b \in N$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from [Jan96, 3.10.6] and the second follows from a computation using the generators of $\mathbf{U}$. ☐

4.2. **Lemma.** *Let M and N be finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-modules in $\mathcal{C}'$ and ϕ an invariant pairing. Then, for $m \in {}_RM, n \in {}_RN$, $\phi(T''_e m, T''_{-e} n) = \phi(m,n)$.*

Proof. We may assume M and N are irreducible with highest weight ν. Using the basis in (2.1), $h + j = \nu$, and the invariance of ϕ we get

$$\phi(T''_e F^{(j)}\eta, T''_{-e}F^{(j)}\eta) = \phi(F^{(h)}\eta, F^{(h)}\eta) = \phi(F^{(j)}\eta, F^{(j)}\eta)$$

where we have used [Lus93, Cor. 3.1.9], in the third line. ☐

Note that if $\phi(\eta,\eta) = 1$, then for $0 \le j \le \nu$, the calculation required for the proof above shows that

$$\phi(F^{(j)}\eta, F^{(j)}\eta) = v^{j^2-\nu j}\begin{bmatrix}\nu\\ j\end{bmatrix} \tag{4.1.3}$$

For the proof of some future results we must be explicit about the definition of the "R-matrix" ${}_f\mathcal{R} : A \otimes \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes A$: Recall a $\mathbf{U}$-module M is said to be *integrable* if for any $m \in M$ and all $i \in I$, there exists a positive integer N such that $E_i^{(n)}m = 0 = F_i^{(n)}m$ for all $n \ge N$, and $M = \oplus_{\lambda \in X} M^\lambda$ where for any $\mu \in Y, \lambda \in X$ and $m \in M^\lambda$ one has $K_\mu m = v^{\langle \mu,\lambda\rangle}m$. Let R denote a commutative algebra over the ring $\mathbb{Q}(v)[T^{\pm 1}]$ (such as in the introduction) and let $f : X \times X \to R$ be a function such that

$$f(\zeta, \zeta' \pm i') = f(\zeta,\zeta')v^{\mp\langle i,\zeta\rangle(i\cdot i/2)}$$
$$f(\zeta \pm i', \zeta') = f(\zeta,\zeta')v^{\mp\langle i,\zeta'\rangle(i\cdot i/2)}T^{\mp(i\cdot i)/2}$$

for all $\zeta, \zeta' \in X$ and all $i \in I$ (see [L, 32.1.3] or [Ja, 3.15]).

Such a function f exists: Let H denote a set of coset representatives of $X/\mathbb{Z}[I]$, let $c : H \times H \to \mathbb{Z}$ denote an arbitrary function and set

$$f(h+\nu, h'+\nu') := v^{c(h,h')-\sum_i \nu_i\langle i,h'\rangle(i\cdot i)/2-\sum_i \nu'_i\langle i,h\rangle(i\cdot i)/2-\nu\cdot\nu'}T^{-\sum \nu_i(i\cdot i)/2}$$

for $h, h' \in H$ and $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$.

4.3. **Theorem.** *[L, 32.1.5], or [Ja, 3.14] If $\mathcal{E}$ is an integrable ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ module and $A \in \mathcal{C}_R$, then for each f satisfying (3.1.1), there exists an isomorphism ${}_f\mathcal{R} : A \otimes \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes A$.*

The map $\tau : A \otimes B \to B \otimes A$ for any two modules A and B denotes the twist map $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. Define $\prod_f :\in \mathrm{End}_R({}_R\mathcal{E} \otimes {}_R\mathcal{F} \otimes_R M)$ by $\prod_f(e \otimes e' \otimes m) = f(\lambda, \lambda')e \otimes e' \otimes m$ for $m \in M^{\lambda'}$ and $e \otimes e' \in (\mathcal{E} \otimes {}_R\mathcal{F})^{\lambda}$. Lastly we define $\chi \in \mathrm{End}_R({}_R\mathcal{E} \otimes {}_R\mathcal{F} \otimes_R M)$ by

$$\chi(e \otimes e' \otimes m) = \sum_{\nu} \sum_{b,b' \in \mathbf{B}_\nu} p_{b,b'} b^-(e \otimes e') \otimes b'^+ m$$

where $p_{b,b'} = p_{b',b} \in R$, and $\mathbf{B}_\nu$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{f}$. Then ${}_f\mathcal{R}$ is defined to be equal to $\chi \circ \prod_f \circ \tau$. The proof that it is an $\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism is almost exactly the same as in [L, 32.1.5] or [Ja, 3.14], which the exception that one must take into account that M is in the category $\mathcal{C}_R$ instead of ${}_R\mathcal{C}'$.

(The above formula for 6.2.1 corrects the corresponding 6.2.1 in the earlier version of [CE].)

In the case that $I = \{i\}$ and $X = Y = \mathbf{Z}$ with $i = 1 \in Y$, $i' = 2 \in X$, the linear map L (see (3.1.6)) coincides with χ (Lusztig uses the notation Θ where we use χ - see [Lus93, 4.1.4]). Moreover since $X = \mathbb{Z} \supset 2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbf{Z}[i]$ there are only two cosets in $X/\mathbb{Z}[i]$. In this case the function f above can be defined by

$$f(h + \nu, h' + \nu') := v^{-\sum_i \nu_i \langle i,h'\rangle (i\cdot i)/2 - \sum_i \nu'_i \langle i,h\rangle (i\cdot i)/2 - \nu\cdot\nu'} T^{-\sum \nu_i (i\cdot i)/2} \tag{4.1.4}$$

for all $h, h' = 0, 1$ and $\nu, \nu' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. So for example ${}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ is given by

(4.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} {}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(e \otimes m) &= \mathbf{s} \circ \Pi_f^{-1} \circ L^{-1}(e \otimes m) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} v^{n(n-1)/2} \{n\} \mathbf{s} \circ \Pi_f^{-1} F^{(n)} e \otimes E^{(n)} m \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} f(\lambda - 2n, \lambda' + 2n)^{-1} v^{n(n-1)/2} \{n\} E^{(n)} m \otimes F^{(n)} e \\ &= f(\lambda, \lambda')^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} v^{n(\lambda - \lambda') - 2n^2 + n(n-1)/2} T^{-n} \{n\} E^{(n)} m \otimes F^{(n)} e \end{aligned}$$

for $e \in \mathcal{E}^\lambda$ and $m \in M^{\lambda'}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-modules and $\tau :_R \mathcal{E} \otimes_R \mathcal{F}^{\rho_1} \to \mathbf{U}$, a $\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism into $\mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is a module under the adjoint action. Suppose ϕ is a pairing of M and N. Define $\psi_{\tau,\phi}$ to be the invariant pairing of $M \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$ and $N \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ defined by the formula, for $e \in \mathcal{E}, f \in \mathcal{F}, m \in M$, and $n \in N$,

$$\psi_{\tau,\phi}(m \otimes e, n \otimes f) = \phi(m, \tau(e \otimes f) * n) \ , \tag{4.1.6}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}^{\rho_1}$ is a twist of the representation $\mathcal{F}$ by ρ_1. We call the pairing $\psi_{\tau,\phi}$ the *pairing induced* by τ and ϕ. In the cases when M, N and ϕ are fixed we write ψ_τ in place of $\psi_{\tau,\phi}$ and say this pairing is induced by τ.

4.2. A result from [CE95] shows that in the setting of Verma modules the collection of maps τ is a natural set of parameters for invariant forms.

4.4**. Proposition.** *Suppose* $\mathbf{U}$ *is of finite type and* $\mathcal{E}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}$ *are finite dimensional* $\mathbf{U}$*-modules. Let* M *be an* ${}_R\mathbf{U}$*-Verma module and* ϕ *the Shapovalov form on* M*. Then every invariant pairing of* $M \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$ *and* $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ *is induced by* ϕ*.*

4.3.

4.5. **Theorem** (Lifting Theorem)**.** *Let A and B be modules in $\mathcal{C}_R$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{P}_\varrho(A,B)$. Then ϕ uniquely determines an invariant form $\phi_F \in \mathbb{P}_\varrho(A_F, B_F)$ which is determined by the following properties:*

1. *ϕ_F vanishes on the subspaces $\iota A \times B_F$ and $A_F \times \iota B$.*
2. *For each $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mu + 1 = r \in \mathbb{N}$, and any vectors $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ both of weight $m + \epsilon\mu$ with $\epsilon \in \{1, s\}$ and $E\ a = E\ b = 0$,*

$$\phi_F(F^{-1}a, F^{-1}b) = v^{-r+1}\frac{\iota\epsilon[T;0]}{\iota\epsilon[T;r-1]}\ \ \phi(a,b). \tag{4.3.1}$$

4.6. **Proposition.** *The form ϕ_F induces an ϱ-invariant bilinear map on $A_\pi \times B_\pi$ which we denote by ϕ_π.*

4.4. At times the subscript notation for lifted forms will be inconvenient and so we shall also use the symbol *loc* for the localization of both forms and modules. We write $loc(\phi)$ and $loc(A)$ in place of ϕ_F and A_F.

For invariant forms we find that induction and localization commute in the following sense.

4.7. **Proposition** ([CE95, Prop 7.5])**.** *Suppose A and B are objects in $\mathcal{C}_R$. Then ϕ_F and ϕ_π are ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-invariant; i.e. $\phi_F\ \in \mathbb{P}_\varrho(A_F, B_F)$, $\phi_\pi \in \mathbb{P}_\varrho(A_\pi, B_\pi)$.*

5. Quantum Clebsch-Gordan decomposition

5.1. **Bases and Symmetries.** For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{F}_m$ denote the finite dimensional irreducible module of highest weight v^m with highest weight vector $u^{(m)}$. For k any non-negative integer set $u^{(m)}_k = F^{(k)}u^{(m)}$ and $u^{(m)}_{-1} = 0$.

In particular

$$T''_1(u^{(m)}_j) = (-1)^{m-j}v^{(m-j)(j+1)}u^{(m)}_{m-j}$$

and

(5.1.1)

$$K^p u^{(m)}_j = v^{p(m-2j)}u^{(m)}_j,\ \ F^{(p)}u^{(m)}_j = \begin{bmatrix} p+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} u^{(m)}_{j+p},\ \ E^{(p)}u^{(m)}_j = \begin{bmatrix} m+p-j \\ p \end{bmatrix} u^{(m)}_{j-p}.$$

5.1. **Lemma** (Clebsch-Gordan, [Kas95], [KR89])**.** *For any two non-negative integers m and n, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{U}$-modules*

$$\mathcal{F}_{m+n} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{m+n-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{|m-n|} \cong \mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Moreover the isomorphism may be defined on highest weight vectors by

$$\varPhi(u^{(m+n-2p)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^k v^{(k-p)(m-p-k+1)}\frac{[n-p+k]_{(k)}}{[m]_{(k)}}u^{(m)}_k \otimes u^{(n)}_{p-k} \tag{5.1.2}$$

where $0 \le p \le \min\{m,n\}$.

Proof. First note $|m-n| \le m+n-2p \le m+n$ so that $0 \le p \le \min\{m,n\}$. The element $\varPhi(u^{(m+n-2p)})$ certainly has the right weight and it is straightforward to check that $E\varPhi(u^{(m+n-2p)}) = 0$. □

5.2. **Corollary.** *For any two non-negative integers m, n, and $p \le \min\{m,n\}$,*

$$\varPhi(u^{(m+n-2p)}_{m+n-2p}) = v^{p(n-m)}\sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^{p+k}v^{-k(n+k-2p+1)}\frac{[n+k-p]_{(k)}}{[m]_{(k)}}u^{(m)}_{m-k} \otimes u^{(n)}_{n+k-p}.$$

5.3. **Lemma.** *The map* $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_m^{\rho_1} \to \mathcal{F}_m$ *given by* $\varphi(u_k^{(m)}) = (-v)^{-k} u_{m-k}^{(m)}$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation on weight vectors and generators of $\mathbf{U}$. ☐

5.4. **Corollary.** *Let* m *and* n *be two non-negative integers. Then there is an isomorphism of* $\mathbf{U}$*-modules*

$$\mathcal{F}_{m+n} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{m+n-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{|m-n|} \cong \mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1}.$$

Moreover for $m \geq n$*, this isomorphism can defined on highest weight vectors by*

$$\Phi(u^{(m+n-2p)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{n-p} \frac{[n-p+k]![m-k]!}{[n-p]![m]!} v^{(k-p)(2+m)+p^2-k^2+n} u_k^{(m)} \otimes u_{n-p+k}^{(n)}$$

(the action on the second factor $u_l^{(n)}$ *is twisted by the automorphism* ρ_1*).*

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1} \to \mathcal{F}_n$ which sends $u_{n+k-p}^{(n)}$ to $(-v)^{p-n-k} u_{p-k}^{(n)}$. ☐

Since we have two basis $\{u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)} \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq m,\ \ 0 \leq j \leq n\}$, and $\{u_k^{(m+n-2p)} \,|\, 0 \leq p \leq n,\ \ 0 \leq k \leq m+n-2p\}$ of $\mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$, we can relate them by the *quantum Clebsch-Gordan coefficients* or *quantum* $3j$*-symbols*;

$$u_k^{(m+n-2p)} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n, i+j=p+k} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2p \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)}$$

Consider now the ρ-invariant forms (4.1.3) on $\mathcal{F}_m$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$, both denoted by $(,)$, normalized so that their highest weight vectors have norm 1. Define the symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\langle , \rangle$ on $\mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ given by the tensor product of the two forms (the resulting pairing is ρ-invariant). Assume that the forms on $\mathcal{F}_m$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ are normalized so that their highest weight vectors $u^{(m)}$ and $u^{(n)}$ have norm 1. In this case

$$\langle u^{(m+n-2p)}, u^{(m+n-2p)} \rangle = v^{p\,(2\,p-2\,m-1)} \frac{[n]![m+n-p+1]![m-p]!}{[m]![p]![m+n-2p+1]![n-p]!}.$$

where we have used formula (2.2.2) for $p \leq \min\{m,n\}$.

The same proof that gave us (4.1.3) now implies for $p \leq \min\{m,n\}$,

$$||u_k^{(m+n-2p)}||^2 := v^{p\,(2\,p-2\,m-1)-(m+n-2p-k)k} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+n-p+1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+n-2p \\ k \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} m \\ p \end{bmatrix}}$$

5.5. **Proposition** ([KR89]). *(i). The basis* $\{u_k^{(m+n-2p)}\}$ *of* $\mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ *is orthogonal.*

(ii). For $0 \leq i \leq m$, and $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)} \\
&= v^{mj+ni-2ij} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \frac{[i+j-p]![m+n-i-j-p]!}{v^{p(m+n-p)} ||u^{(m+n-2p)}||^2 [m+n-2p]!} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2p \\ i & j & i+j-p \end{bmatrix} u_{i+j-p}^{(m+n-2p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In ${\mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n}^{\rho_1}$ (recall $\phi(u_j^{(n)}) = (-v)^{-j} u_{n-j}^{(n)}$)

(5.1.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)} \\
&= (-1)^j v^{m(n-j)+ni-2i(n-j)-j} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-j \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \frac{v^{-p(m+n-p)}}{||u^{(m+n-2p)}||^2} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{[n+i-j-p]![m-i+j-p]!}{[m+n-2p]!} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2p \\ i & n-j & n+i-j-p \end{bmatrix} u_{n+i-j-p}^{(m+n-2p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we observe that due to fact that different weight spaces having different weights we get

$$\langle u_k^{(m+n-2p)}, u_l^{(m+n-2p)} \rangle = 0,$$

for $k \neq l$. Now suppose $p \neq q$. Then the pairing $(,)$ on $\mathcal{F}_m \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ induces a module homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}^{(m+n-2p)} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{F}^{(m+n-2q)})^{\rho})^*$. Since these two irreducible modules are not isomorphic for $p \neq q$, we must have

$$\langle u_k^{(m+n-2p)}, u_l^{(m+n-2q)} \rangle = 0,$$

for all k and l.

The second formula follows from the fact that if we write

$$u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)} = \sum_{p=\frac{|m-n|}{2}}^{\frac{m+n}{2}} c_p^{ij} \, u_{i+j-p}^{(m+n-2p)},$$

then $k = i+j-p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_p^{ij} \langle u_k^{(m+n-2p)}, u_k^{(m+n-2p)} \rangle &= \langle u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(m)}, u_k^{(m+n-2p)} \rangle \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2p \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} (u_i^{(m)}, u_i^{(m)})(u_j^{(n)}, u_j^{(n)}) \\
&= v^{i(i-m)+j(j-n)} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2p \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.6. **Lemma.** *For m and p non-negative integers, one has*

(5.1.4)
$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} u_{m-p}^{(m)} = v^{2p(p-1-m)} u_{m-p}^{(m)}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}u_{m-p}^{(m)} = v^{-2p(p-1-m)}u_{m-p}^{(m)}. \tag{5.1.5}$$

These two equations explain the labeling of $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ i.e. they really are inverses when restricted to finite dimensional X-admissible modules.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 3.6 □

5.7. **Corollary.** *Suppose m, n, k and p are non-negative integers with $c_{i,j}^{m,n} \in k(v)$ and*

$$\bar{u} = \sum_{i+j=p+k} c_{i,j}^{m,n} u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)}.$$

Then

$$T_1''\mathcal{L}^{-1}\bar{u} = \sum_{i+j=p+k} d_{i,j}^{m,n} u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)}, \tag{5.1.6}$$

where

(5.1.7)

$$\begin{aligned} d_{r,s}^{m,n} &= (-1)^{r+s} v^{r(r-m-1)+s(s-n-1)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p v^{-\frac{p(p-1)}{2}+p(2p+m-n-2r+2s)} \{p\}\, c_{m-r+p,n-s-p}^{m,n} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s \\ p \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Due to the invariance of the form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{r,s}^{m,n} v^{r(r-m)+s(s-n)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} = d_{r,s}^{m,n} (u_r^{(m)}, u_r^{(m)})(u_s^{(n)}, u_s^{(n)}) \\ &= \langle T_1''\mathcal{L}^{-1}\bar{u}, u_r^{(m)} \otimes u_s^{(n)} \rangle = \langle T_1''\mathcal{L}^{-1}\bar{u}, T_1''T_{-1}'(u_r^{(m)} \otimes u_s^{(n)}) \rangle \\ &= \langle L(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\bar{u} \otimes T_{-1}'(u_r^{(m)} \otimes u_s^{(n)})) \rangle \qquad \text{by (3.1.10)} \\ &= \langle \bar{u}, T_{-1}'(u_r^{(m)} \otimes u_s^{(n)}) \rangle \qquad \text{by (3.1.11)} \\ &= \langle \bar{u}, L(T_{-1}'(u_r^{(m)}) \otimes T_{-1}'(u_s^{(n)})) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{r+s} v^{r(2r-2m-1)+s(2s-2n-1)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p v^{-\frac{p(p-1)}{2}+p(2p+m-n-2r+2s)} \{p\}\, c_{m-r+p,n-s-p}^{m,n} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

6. Basis and the Intertwining map $\mathcal{L}$

6.1. **A Basis.** For $s \geq 1$, and any lowest weight vector η of weight $Tv^{\lambda+\rho}$, set

(6.1.1)

$$F^{(-k)}\eta := T_{-1}'(F^{(k)})\eta = v^{k(k-1)}F^{-k}K^k[K;-1]^{(k)}\eta = v^{k(\lambda+k)}T^k[T;\lambda]^{(k)}F^{-k}\eta$$

as

$$T_{-1}'(E^{(k)}) = (-1)^k v^{-k(k-1)}K^{-k}F^{(k)}, \qquad T_{-1}'(F^{(k)}) = (-1)^k v^{k(k-1)}E^{(k)}K^k.$$

6.1. **Lemma.** *Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s > r \geq 0$, ζ is a highest weight vector of weight $Tv^{\lambda-\rho}$ and η is a lowest weight vector of weight $Tv^{\lambda+\rho}$. Then*

$$E^{(r)}F^{(s)}\zeta = \begin{bmatrix} T; \lambda-\rho+r-s \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(s-r)}\zeta, \quad F^{(r)}F^{(s)}\zeta = \begin{bmatrix} r+s \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(s+r)}\zeta, \tag{6.1.2}$$

and

$$F^{(r)}F^{(-s)}\eta = v^{r(\lambda+2s-r)}T^r \begin{bmatrix} T; \lambda+s \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(r-s)}\eta, \tag{6.1.3}$$

$$E^{(r)}F^{(-s)}\eta = (-1)^r v^{-r(\lambda+r+2s)}T^{-r} \begin{bmatrix} r+s \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(-r-s)}\eta, \tag{6.1.4}$$

$n = \lambda - \rho$.

Define indexing sets I_λ and $I_{-\lambda}$ by $I_\lambda = \{n-2, n-4, ...\}$, $I_{-\lambda} = \{-n, -n-2, ...\}$ where $n = \lambda + 1$. One should compare the previous result with

6.2. **Lemma** ([CE95], 2.2). *Now for integers $j \in I_\lambda$ (resp. $I_{-\lambda}$) set $k_j = \frac{n-2-j}{2}$ and $l_j = \frac{-n-j}{2}$ and define basis vectors for $M(m+\lambda)$ and $M(m-\lambda)$ by $w_{\lambda,j} = F^{k_j} \otimes 1_{m+\lambda-\varrho}$ and $w_{-\lambda,j} = F^{l_j} \otimes 1_{m-\lambda-\rho}$. The action of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ is given by*

$$Kw_{\lambda,j} = Tv^{\lambda-1-2k_j} w_{\lambda,j} \quad , \quad Fw_{\lambda,j} = w_{\lambda,j-2} \quad ,$$

$$Kw_{-\lambda,j} = Tv^{-\lambda-1-2l_j} w_{-\lambda,j} \quad , \quad Fw_{-\lambda,j} = w_{-\lambda,j-2} \ ,$$

$$Ew_{\lambda,j} = [k_j][T; -l_j] w_{\lambda,j+2} \quad , \quad Ew_{-\lambda,j} = [l_j][T; -k_j] w_{-\lambda,j+2}.$$

6.2. The articles [Enr95] and [CE95] study noncommutative localization of highest weight modules. This article may be viewed as an extension of what was begun there. For any $\mathbf{U}$-module A let A_F denote the localization of A with respect to the multiplicative set in $\mathbf{U}$ generated by F. If F acts without torsion on A (we shall assume this throughout) then A injects into A_F and we have the short exact sequence of $\mathbf{U}$-modules: $0 \to A \to A_F \to A_\pi \to 0$.

6.3. **Lemma** (Mackey). *Let $M = {}_R\mathbf{U} \otimes_{{}_RB} R_{m+\lambda-\rho}$ be a Verma module of highest weight $m + \lambda - \rho$ (see [CE95, 1.7]) and suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a X-admissible (hence a free R-module of finite rank). Then $M_\pi \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$ is generated as an ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module by $Rm \otimes \mathcal{E}$ where m is a lowest weight vector in M_π.*

Proof. First note that $M \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$ can be identified with its image in $M_F \otimes R\mathcal{E}$ due to the fact that it is torsion free with respect to the action of F. The Lemma then follows from the series of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pi \otimes_R \mathcal{E} &\cong (M_F \otimes_R \mathcal{E})/(M \otimes_R \mathcal{E}) \cong (M \otimes_R \mathcal{E})_F/(M \otimes_R \mathcal{E}) \\ &\cong ({}_R\mathbf{U} \otimes_{{}_RB} (R_{m+\lambda-\rho} \otimes_R \mathcal{E}))_F/({}_R\mathbf{U} \otimes_{{}_RB} (R_{m+\lambda-\rho} \otimes_R \mathcal{E})) \\ &= ({}_R\mathbf{U} \otimes_{{}_RB} (R_{m+\lambda-\rho} \otimes_R \mathcal{E}))_\pi. \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows as tensoring the short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to M_F \to M_\pi \to 0$$

with $\mathcal{E}$ (a finite rank free R-module), leads to a short exact sequence. The second isomorphism comes from [CE95, Theorem 3.9]) where it is implemented by $1 \otimes e \mapsto 1 \otimes 1 \otimes e$ where $e \in \mathcal{E}$. The third isomorphism comes from Mackey's Isomorphism Theorem and it is implemented by $1 \otimes 1 \otimes e \mapsto 1 \otimes 1 \otimes e$. □

6.3. **Highest and Lowest Weight Decompositions.**

6.4**. Lemma.** *Suppose* $n-2p=r$ *with* n *and* r *nonnegative integers. Then*

$$w_{r,r-1}=\sum_{0\leq k\leq p}\frac{[n-p+k]_{(k)}v^{-k^2}}{T^{-k}[T^{-1};k]_{(k)}}F^{(k)}w_{0,-1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{p-k}. \tag{6.3.1}$$

is a highest weight vector of weight Tv^{r-1} *in* $M\otimes\mathcal{F}$ *and*

$$w_{-r,-r-1}=\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-p}\frac{[p+k]_{(k)}v^{-k^2}}{T^{-k}[T^{-1};k]_{(k)}}F^{(k)}w_{0,-1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{n-p-k}. \tag{6.3.2}$$

is a highest weight vector of weight Tv^{-r-1} *in* $M\otimes\mathcal{F}$*. In* $M_\pi\otimes\mathcal{F}$*,*

$$m_{r,r+1}=\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-p}(-1)^k\frac{[p+k]_{(k)}v^{-k(-r+2k+1)}}{T^k[T;k]_{(k)}}F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{p+k}, \tag{6.3.3}$$

is a lowest weight vector of lowest weight Tv^{r+1}*. Moreover*

$$m_{-r,-r+1}=\sum_{0\leq k\leq p}(-1)^k\frac{[n-p+k]_{(k)}v^{-k(r+2k+1)}}{T^k[T;k]_{(k)}}F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{n-p+k}, \tag{6.3.4}$$

is a lowest weight vector in $M_\pi\otimes\mathcal{F}$ *of lowest weight* Tv^{-r+1}

Observe that the second identity can be obtained from the first by replacing p with $n-p$. This implies that if we set

$$\sigma=\sigma(\epsilon,n,p)=\begin{cases}p & \text{if}\quad \epsilon=1,\\ n-p & \text{if}\quad \epsilon=-1,\end{cases}$$

and

$$a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)=(-1)^k\frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{T^k[T;k]_{(k)}},$$

then

$$m_{\epsilon r,\epsilon r+1}=\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-\sigma}v^{-k(-\epsilon r+2k+1)}a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{\sigma+k}, \tag{6.3.5}$$

Proof. The first equality follows using (5.1.1) and Lemma 6.1.

On the other hand a direct calculation shows $Fm_{r,r+1}=0$. Using

$$m_{-r,-r+1}=\sum_{0\leq k\leq p}A_kF^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{n+k-p}$$

and $Fm_{-r,-r+1}=0$ we get

$$A_k=(-1)^k\frac{v^{-k(r+2k+1)}[n+k-p]_{(k)}}{T^k[T;k]_{(k)}}A_0.$$

□

Note that by the definition of $\mathcal{L}$, one has

$$\mathcal{L}(m_{r,r+1})=m_{r,r+1},\quad \mathcal{L}(m_{-r,-r+1})=m_{-r,-r+1}. \tag{6.3.6}$$

6.5**. Corollary.** *Suppose $n-2p=r$ with n and r nonnegative integers. If we let $m_{r,r+1}$ (resp. $m_{-r,-r+1}$) denote a lowest weight vector in $M_\pi \otimes \mathcal{F}$ of lowest weight Tv^{r+1} (resp. Tv^{-r+1}), then*

$$L^{-1}(m_{r,r+1}) = v^{-2(n-p)(p+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} (-1)^s \frac{v^{s(1-r)}[p+s]_{(s)}}{T^s[T,s]_{(s)}} F^{(-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{p+s}, \tag{6.3.7}$$

$$L^{-1}(m_{-r,-r+1}) = v^{-2p(n-p+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{p} \frac{v^{s(1+r)}[n-p+s]_{(s)}}{T^s[T,s]_{(s)}} F^{(-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{n-p+s}. \tag{6.3.8}$$

As in the previous lemma the second identity can be obtained from the first by replacing p with $n-p$. Using the above definition of σ and $a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)$ we get

$$L^{-1}(m_{\epsilon r,\epsilon r+1}) = v^{-2(n-\sigma)(\sigma+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{n-\sigma} (-1)^s v^{s(1-\epsilon r)} a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k) F^{(-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{\sigma+s}.$$

Proof. Define coefficients B_s and C_s through

$$L^{-1}(m_{r,r+1}) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} B_s F^{(-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{p+s}, \quad \text{and}$$
$$L^{-1}(m_{-r,-r+1}) = \sum_{s=0}^{p} C_s F^{(-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{s+n-p}.$$

Now

$$0 = L^{-1}(Fm_{\epsilon r,\epsilon r+1}) = -(K\otimes K)(T'_{-1}(E)\otimes 1 + K^{-1}\otimes T'_{-1}(E))L^{-1}(m_{\epsilon r,\epsilon r+1})$$

Thus

$$0 = -\sum_{s=1}^{n-p} \left(B_s[T;s] + B_{s-1}T^{-1}v^{1-n+2p}[p+s]\right) F^{(1-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{p+s},$$

and

$$0 = -\sum_{s=1}^{p} \left(C_s[T;s] + C_{s-1}T^{-1}v^{n-2p+1}[n-p+s]\right) F^{(1-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{s+n-p}.$$

Hence

$$B_s = -B_{s-1}\frac{v^{1-n+2p}[p+s]}{T[T,s]} = (-1)^s \frac{v^{s(1-r)}[p+s]_{(s)}}{T^s[T,s]_{(s)}} B_0$$
$$C_s = -C_{s-1}\frac{v^{n-2p+1}[n-p+s]}{T[T,s]} = (-1)^s \frac{v^{s(r+1)}[n-p+s]_{(s)}}{T^s[T,s]_{(s)}} C_0.$$

In particular $B_{n-p} = (-1)^{n-p}\frac{v^{(n-p)(1-r)}[n]_{(n-p)}}{T^{n-p}[T;n-p]_{(n-p)}}B_0$. On the other hand

$$L^{-1}(m_{r,r+1}) = \sum_{0\le k\le n-p} (-1)^k \frac{[p+k]_{(k)}v^{k(r-2k-1)}}{T^k[T;k]_{(k)}} L^{-1}\left(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}_{p+k}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{n-p}\sum_{k=s}^{n-p} (-1)^k \frac{[p+k]_{(k)}}{[T;s]_{(s)}[k-s]!} \begin{bmatrix} n-p-s \\ k-s \end{bmatrix} v^{k(r-2k-1)+\frac{(k-s)(k-s-1)}{2}+(k-s)(k+s)}$$
$$\times \{k-s\} T^{-s} F^{(-s)} m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{p+s}.$$

A very similar calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} &L^{-1}(m_{-r,-r+1}) \\ &\quad = \sum_{s=0}^{p}\sum_{k=s}^{p}(-1)^k \frac{[n-p+k]_{(k)}}{[T;s]_{(s)}[k-s]!}\begin{bmatrix} p-s \\ k-s \end{bmatrix} v^{-k(r+2k+1)+\frac{(k-s)(k-s-1)}{2}+(k-s)(k+s)} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \{k-s\}T^{-s}F^{(-s)}m_{0,1}\otimes u_{n-p+s}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

When $s=n-p$ we get $F^{(-s)}m_{0,1}\otimes u_{p+s}^{(n)}$ has a coefficient

$$B_{n-p} = (-1)^{n-p}\frac{[n]_{(n-p)}v^{(n-p)(-n-1)}}{T^{n-p}[T;n-p]_{(n-p)}} = (-1)^{n-p}\frac{v^{(n-p)(1-r)}[n]_{(n-p)}}{T^{n-p}[T;n-p]_{(n-p)}}B_0$$

Thus $B_0 = v^{(n-p)(r-n-2)} = v^{-2(n-p)(p+1)}$,

$$B_s = (-1)^s\frac{v^{s(1-r)-2(n-p)(p+1)}[p+s]_{(s)}}{T^s[T,s]_{(s)}},$$

Similarly setting $s=p$, we get

$$C_p = (-1)^p\frac{[n]_{(p)}}{T^p[T;p]_{(p)}}v^{-p(r+2p+1)} = (-1)^p\frac{[n]_{(p)}}{T^p[T;p]_{(p)}}v^{p(r+1)}C_0$$

so that $C_0 = v^{-2p(r+p+1)} = v^{-2p(n-p+1)}$.

This proves the two identities. □

6.6. Corollary. *For $0\le s\le n-p$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &v^{s(1-r)+2(p-n)(p+1)}[p+s]_{(s)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=s}^{n-p}(-1)^{k+s}\frac{[p+k]_{(k)}}{[k-s]!}\begin{bmatrix} n-p-s \\ k-s \end{bmatrix} v^{k(r-2k-1)+\frac{(k-s)(3k+s-1)}{2}}\{k-s\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3.9}$$

7. MAPS INTO THE HARMONICS.

7.1. Harmonics. We know from [JL94] that $F(\mathbf{U}) \cong \mathcal{H}\otimes Z(\mathbf{U})$ where $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{L}_{2n}$ is the space of *harmonics*, and $\mathcal{L}_{2n}\cong\mathcal{F}_{2n}$.

For m, n, r integers with $m+n$ even, $|m-n|\le 2r\le m+n$, we let $\beta_{2r}^{m,n} : \mathcal{F}_m\otimes\mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1}\to\mathcal{H}$, be the $\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism determined by

$$\beta_{2r}^{m,n}(u^{(m+n-2q)}) = \delta_{2r,m+n-2q}E^{(r)}K^{-r}. \tag{7.1.1}$$

so that $\operatorname{im}\beta_{2r}^{m,n} = \mathcal{L}_{2r}$.

7.1. **Proposition.** *Suppose* η *is a lowest weight vector of weight* $Tv^{\lambda+\rho}$*, and* $\max\{|\frac{m-n}{2}|, |\frac{m-n}{2}-i+j|\} \leq r \leq \frac{m+n}{2}$ *with* $0 \leq i \leq m$ *and* $0 \leq j \leq n$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1\Big(\beta^{m,n}_{2r}(u^{(m)}_i \otimes u^{(n)}_j)\Big)F^{(-c)}\eta \\
&\qquad = (-1)^j v^{m(n-j)+ni-2i(n-j)-j+r^2-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad\quad \times \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-j & \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}}{||u^{(2r)}||^2 \begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}} (v^{2\lambda+2+i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+4c}T^2)^{j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}} \\
&\qquad\quad \times \sum_{l=0}^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r} (-1)^{r-l} v^{l\left(r+j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}+1\right)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+c \\ i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r-l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda+l+c \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l+c \\ l \end{bmatrix} F^{(j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}-c)}\eta
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1\Big(T'_{-1}\beta^{m,n}_{2r}(u^{(m)}_i \otimes u^{(n)}_j)\Big)F^{(-c)}\eta \\
&\qquad = (-1)^j v^{m(n-j)+ni-2i(n-j)-j+r^2-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad\quad \times ||u^{(2r)}||^{-2} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-j & \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}} (v^{2\,c+\lambda}T)^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}} \\
&\qquad\quad \times \sum_{l=0}^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r} (-1)^{r-l} v^{l(r+j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}+1)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda+c \\ l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda+r+c-l \\ i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r-l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r+c-l \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}-c)}\eta
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From [Jan96], 4.18(5), we have for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\operatorname{ad} F^{(a)}(E^{(b)}K^{-b}) = \sum_{m=0}^{a} (-1)^{a-m} v^{-(a-1)(a-m)} F^{(m)}E^{(b)}K^{-b}F^{(a-m)}K^a.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1\left(\operatorname{ad} F^{(a)}(E^{(b)}K^{-b})\right) F^{(-c)}\eta \\
&\qquad = \sum_{m=0}^{a} (-1)^{a-m} v^{-(a-1)(a-m)} \rho_1\left(F^{(m)}E^{(b)}K^{-b}F^{(a-m)}K^a\right) F^{(-c)}\eta \\
&\qquad = (v^{2\lambda+2+a-b+4c}T^2)^{b-a} \sum_{m} (-1)^{b-m} v^{m(2b-a+1)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} a-b+c \\ a-m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda+m+c \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+c \\ m \end{bmatrix} F^{(b-c-a)}\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\rho_1 \circ T'_{-1} = T'_{-1} \circ \rho_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1 \left(T'_{-1} \mathrm{ad}\, F^{(a)} (E^{(b)} K^{-b}) \right) F^{(-c)} \eta \\
&\quad = \sum_{m=0}^{a} (-1)^{a-m} v^{-(a-1)(a-m)} T'_{-1} \rho_1 \left(F^{(m)} E^{(b)} K^{-b} F^{(a-m)} K^{a} \right) F^{(-c)} \eta \\
&\quad = (-v^{2\,c+\lambda} T)^{a-b} \sum_{m} (-1)^{a-m} v^{m(2b-a+1)} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} T; \lambda + c \\ m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T; \lambda + b + c - m \\ a - m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b + c - m \\ b \end{bmatrix} F^{(a-c-b)} \eta
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.1) and the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
&T'_{-1}(E^{(m)}) T'_{-1}(F^{(b)}) T'_{-1}(K^{b}) T'_{-1}(E^{(a-m)}) T'_{-1}(K^{-a}) \\
&\quad = (-1)^{b+a} v^{a(a+1)+b(b-1-2m)} F^{(m)} E^{(b)} F^{(a-m)}
\end{aligned}$$

By the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition Lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1 \left(\beta^{m,n}_{2r} (u^{(m)}_i \otimes u^{(n)}_j) \right) F^{(-c)} \eta \\
&\quad = (-1)^{j} v^{m(n-j)+ni-2i(n-j)-j+r^2-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad \times ||u^{(2r)}||^{-2} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-j & \frac{n-m}{2} + r + i - j \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ \frac{n-m}{2} + r + i - j \end{bmatrix}} \\
&\qquad \times (v^{2\lambda+2+i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+4c} T^2)^{j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{l=0}^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r} (-1)^{r-l} v^{l\left(r+j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}+1\right)} \begin{bmatrix} i - j + \frac{n-m}{2} + c \\ i - j + \frac{n-m}{2} + r - l \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} T; \lambda + l + c \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l + c \\ l \end{bmatrix} F^{(j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}-c)} \eta
\end{aligned}$$

for $\max\{|\frac{m-n}{2}|, |\frac{m-n}{2}-i+j|\} \leq r \leq \frac{m+n}{2}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1\left(T'_{-1}\beta^{m,n}_{2r}(u^{(m)}_i \otimes u^{(n)}_j)\right)F^{(-c)}\eta \\
&\quad = (-1)^j v^{m(n-j)+ni-2i(n-j)-j+r^2-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad \times ||u^{(2r)}||^{-2} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-j & \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}} (v^{2\,c+\lambda}T)^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{l=0}^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r} (-1)^{r-l} v^{l(r+j-i+\frac{m-n}{2}+1)} \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda+c \\ l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda+r+c-l \\ i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r-l \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} r+c-l \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}-c)}\eta
\end{aligned}$$

□

7.2. **Corollary.** *If $m = n$ and $i = j$, then we get*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1\left(\beta^{m,n}_{2r}(u^{(m)}_i \otimes u^{(m)}_i)\right)F^{(c)}\zeta \\
&\quad = (-1)^i v^{2i(i-m-1)+r^2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}^2 \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m & m & 2r \\ i & m-i & r \end{bmatrix}}{||u^{(2r)}||^2 \begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ r \end{bmatrix}} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{l=0}^{r} (-1)^{l-r} v^{(l-r)(r-1)} \\
&\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda-\rho-c+r-l \\ r-l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l+c \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T;\lambda-\rho-c \\ l \end{bmatrix} F^{(c)}\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

7.3. Corollary. *Let m, n be non-negative integers and* $\max\{|\frac{m-n}{2}|, |\frac{m-n}{2}-i+j|\} \leq r \leq \frac{m+n}{2}$ *with* $0 \leq i \leq m$ *and* $0 \leq j \leq n$. *Then*

$$\rho_1\left(\beta_{2r}^{m,n}(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(n)})\right)u_s^{(k)}$$

$$= (-1)^{i+\frac{n-m}{2}} v^{m(n-j)+ni-2i(n-j)-j+r^2-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}+(j-i-\frac{n-m}{2})(k-2s+1)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\times \, ||u^{(2r)}||^{-2} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-j & \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ \frac{n-m}{2}+r+i-j \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$\times \sum_{q=0}^{i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r} (-1)^q v^{-\left(i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}-r-1\right)q} \begin{bmatrix} k+q-s \\ q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r+s-q \\ s-q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} k+i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}-s \\ i-j+\frac{n-m}{2}+r-q \end{bmatrix} u^{(k)}_{s-i+j-\frac{n-m}{2}}$$

Proof. The calculation is very similar to the proof of the previous proposition and so omitted.

□

8. Symmetry Properties of Induced Forms

8.1. **Twisted action of R.** We shall twist by an automorphism of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ in the setting of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules. Let θ be an automorphism of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$. Then for any ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module ${}_R\mathcal{E}$ define a new ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module ${}_R\mathcal{E}^\theta$ with set equal to that of ${}_R\mathcal{E}$ and action given by : for $e \in {}_R\mathcal{E}$ and $x \in {}_R\mathbf{U}$ the action of x on e equals $\theta(x)e$. For any ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module A, let $A^{s\theta}$ denote the module with action $\diamond$ on $A^{s\theta}$ defined as follows: For $a \in A$ and $x \in {}_R\mathbf{U}$,

$$x \diamond a = s\theta(x)\ a\ .$$

8.1**. Lemma.** *Suppose ϕ is a ϱ-invariant R-valued pairing of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules A and B. Then $s \circ \phi$ is a ϱ-invariant pairing of A^s and B^s. Furthermore if either A or B is $\operatorname{ad} F$ locally finite, then $s \circ \phi \circ L$ a ρ_π-invariant pairing of $A^{sT'_{-1}}$ and $B^{sT'_{-1}}$. Also ϕ and $\phi \circ L$ respectively are ϱ-invariant pairing of these two pairs taking values in the R-module R^s. .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.4 the map $L : A^{T'_{-1}} \otimes B^{T'_{-1}} \to (A \otimes B)^{T'_{-1}}$ is an ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism. Now we know that $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(A \otimes B^{\rho_1}, R)$ is module homomorphism and so after twisting the action we get $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}((A \otimes B^{\rho_1})^{T'_{-1}}, R)$. Composing with s we have $s \circ \phi \circ L \in \operatorname{Hom}_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(A^{T'_{-1}} \otimes B^{\rho_1 T'_{-1}}, R^s)$ is a $\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism that is s-linear. To make it R-linear we twist the action on $A^{T'_{-1}}$ and $B^{\rho_1 T'_{-1}}$ by s. Indeed let $\sharp$ denote the action of R twisted by s. For $r \in R, a \in A^{T'_{-1}}$ and $b \in B^{\rho_1 T'_{-1}}$, since $s^2 = 1$, $s \circ \phi(r \sharp a, b) = s \circ \phi(s(r)a, b) = r\phi(a, b) = s \circ \phi(a, r \sharp b)$. □

Recall from [CE95] we define a *cycle* (for A) to be a pair (A, Ψ) where A is a $\mathbf{U}$ (or ${}_R\mathbf{U}$) module and Ψ is a module homomorphism

$$\Psi : A_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \ \to \ A. \tag{8.1.1}$$

We say that the cycle is *nondegenerate* whenever Ψ is an isomorphism and that A *admits a nondegenerate cycle* when such a pair exists with Ψ an isomorphism.

Throughout the following, suppose

$$\Psi : (A_\pi)^{sT'_{-1}} \to A,$$

is a nondegenerate cycle. For example if we return to the setting of section one and set M equal to the Verma module with highest weight Tv^{-1}: i.e. $M = M(m)$, then $M_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$ is isomorphic to M itself. Then we choose maps $\Psi : M_F \to M$. For $a \in M, x \in {}_R\mathbf{U}$, $\Psi(sT'_{-1}(x) \cdot a) = x \cdot \Psi(a)$. More precisely

8.2. **Lemma.** *Let m be a lowest weight vector in M_π of weight Tv and $\Psi(m)$ the highest weight vector in M of weight Tv^{-1} where $F^{-1}\Psi(m) \equiv m \mod \iota M$. The map $\Psi : (M_\pi)^{sT'_{-1}} \to M$ given by*

$$\Psi(F^{-k}m) = \frac{(-1)^k v^{-k^2} T^k}{[k]![T;-1]_{(k)}} F^k \Psi(m)$$

for $k \geq 0$ is an R-linear $\mathbf{U}$-module isomorphism.

The above can be rewritten as

$$\Psi(F^{(-k)}m) = F^{(k)}\Psi(m).$$

Proof. The proof is straight forward and so omitted. □

Set

$$\bar\Psi := \Psi \otimes sT''_1 \circ L^{-1} : (M_\pi \otimes \mathcal{E})^{sT'_{-1}} \to M \otimes \mathcal{E}. \tag{8.1.2}$$

Let $\iota : \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F}) \to \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(M \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\rho_1}, R)$ be the canonical isomorphism with $\iota(\chi)(a \otimes b) = \chi(a, b)$. Note that $a \otimes b \in M \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\rho_1}$ on the left hand side, while $(a, b) \in M \otimes \mathcal{E} \times N \otimes \mathcal{F}$ on the right hand side. Define $\chi \mapsto \chi^\sharp$ in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F}))$ by

$$\iota(\chi^\sharp)(\bar\Psi(a) \otimes \bar\Psi(b)) := s \circ \iota(\chi_\pi) \circ L(a \otimes b) \tag{8.1.3}$$

for $a \in (M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$, $b \in (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}\rho_1}$ and $\chi \in \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F})$. Recall that when one evaluates L on the right hand side, one has

$$L(a \otimes b) = \sum_n (-1)^n v^{-n(n-1)/2} \{n\} F^{(n)} a \otimes E^{(n)} b$$

where $F^{(n)}a$ is evaluated using the untwisted action and $E^{(n)}b$ is evaluated with the action twisted only by ρ_1. Note also that as a linear map $L \in \operatorname{End}((M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{\rho_1})$ is well defined as F acts locally nilpotently on $(M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi$. We can view $L : (M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}\rho_1} \to ((M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{\rho_1})^{sT'_{-1}}$ as a module isomorphism (see (3.1.3) and Corollary 3.4). Then $s \circ \iota(\chi_\pi) \circ L : (M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}\rho_1} \to R$ is a module homomorphism. Indeed $\iota(\chi_\pi) \in \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}((M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{\rho_1}, R)$, which implies $\iota(\chi_\pi) \in \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}(((M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{\rho_1})^{sT'_{-1}}, R^s)$. This gives us $\iota(\chi_\pi) \circ L \in \hom_{{}_R\mathbf{U}}((M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}} \otimes (N \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\rho_1 sT'_{-1}}, R^s)$.

If we suppress the map ι, then we can write (8.1.3) as

$$\chi^\sharp(\bar\Psi(a), \bar\Psi(b)) := s \circ \chi_\pi \circ L(a \otimes b)$$

were we view $a \in (M \otimes \mathcal{E})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$ with $b \in (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$ on the left hand side of the equality and $b \in (N \otimes \mathcal{F})_\pi^{sT'_{-1}\rho_1}$ on the right hand side.

More explicitly we can show that $\chi^\sharp \in \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathcal{E}, N \otimes \mathcal{F})$ by the following calculation for $x \in {}_R\mathbf{U}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \chi^{\#}(S(x_{(2)})\bar{\Psi}(a), \varrho(x_{(1)})\bar{\Psi}(b)) &= \sum \chi^{\#}(\bar{\Psi}(T'_{-1}S(x_{(2)})a), \bar{\Psi}(T'_{-1}\varrho(x_{(1)})b)) \\ &= \sum s \circ \chi_\pi \circ L(T'_{-1}S(x_{(2)})a \otimes T'_{-1}\varrho(x_{(1)})b) \\ &= s \circ \chi_\pi(T'_{-1}S(x)L(a \otimes b)) \\ &= \mathbf{e}(x) s \circ \chi_\pi \circ L(a \otimes b) \\ &= \mathbf{e}(x)\chi^{\#}(\bar{\Psi}(a), \bar{\Psi}(b))\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is from Corollary 3.4, and the fourth equality is due to the fact that χ_π is ρ-invariant.

8.3. **Lemma.** *Let ϕ be the Shapovalov form on the Verma module M of highest weight Tv^{-1} and Ψ the cycle in Lemma 8.2. Then*

$$s \circ \phi_\pi \circ L = \phi \circ \Psi \otimes \Psi.$$

In other words $\phi^\sharp = \phi$.

Proof. Let w be a fixed highest weight vector of M of highest weight Tv^{-1} such that $\Psi(m) = w$ with m a lowest weight vector in M_π. Recall $\phi^{\#}(\Psi(a), \Psi(b)) = s \circ \phi_\pi \circ L(a \otimes b)$ for $a, b \in M_\pi$ and that the argument above shows $\phi^{\#}$ is ρ-invariant. Observe now

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{\#}(w, w) = \phi^{\#}(\Psi(m), \Psi(m)) &= s \circ \phi_\pi \circ L(m \otimes m) \\ &= s \circ \phi_\pi(m, m) \quad \text{as } m \text{ is a lowest weight vector} \\ &= \phi(\Psi(m), \Psi(m)) = \phi(w, w) \quad \text{by (4.3.1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi^{\#}$ and ϕ agree on the generator $w = \Psi(m)$ of M, the ρ-invariance property proves that they agree everywhere.

□

If ϕ is a ρ-invariant form on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are two finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-modules in the category $\mathcal{C}'$ we define in a similar manner the ρ-invariant form $\phi^\sharp$ on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{E}$ given by $\iota(\phi^\sharp)(T''_1(a) \otimes T''_1(b)) := \iota(\phi) \circ L(a \otimes b)$. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ is irreducible with a highest weight vector $u^{(m)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\iota(\phi^\sharp)(u^{(m)} \otimes u^{(m)}) &= \iota(\phi^\sharp)(T''_1(u_m^{(m)}) \otimes T''_1(u_m^{(m)})) \\ &= \iota(\phi)(u_m^{(m)} \otimes u_m^{(m)}) = \iota(\phi)(u^{(m)} \otimes u^{(m)})\end{aligned} \tag{8.1.4}$$

The second equality comes from the definition of L and last equality is due to (4.1.3). Thus $\phi^\sharp = \phi$. This implies that if $\mathcal{F} = \oplus_i \mathcal{F}_{n_i}$ with n_i distinct and $\mathcal{E} = \oplus_j \mathcal{F}_{m_j}$ with m_j distinct nonnegative integers, then $\phi = \sum_{i,j,n_i=m_j} \phi_i$ where ϕ_i is a ρ-invariant nondegenerate form on $\mathcal{F}_{n_i}$ and zero on the other summands $\mathcal{F}_{n_k}$, $k \neq i$, and we still have $\phi^\sharp = \phi$.

For four finite dimensional X-admissible $\mathbf{U}$-modules $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ and invariant form ϕ on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ we can define for each $\mathbf{U}$-module homomorphism β :

$\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\rho_1}\to\mathbf{U}$ the *induced form* ϕ_β by the formula, for $e\in\mathcal{E}, f\in\mathcal{F}, m\in\mathcal{M}, n\in\mathcal{N}$,

$$\phi_\beta(m\otimes e, n\otimes f)=\phi(m,\rho_1(\beta(e\otimes f))n). \tag{8.1.5}$$

This is similar to the definition (1.0.5).

8.4. **Lemma.** *For m, n, σ, l and r non-negative integers with $0\le\sigma\le n$ and $|m-n|\le 2r\le m+n$, one has*

(8.1.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
&v^{\frac{2n+n^2-(2\,m+m^2)}{4}+n-2\,\sigma+r(r+1)}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma}(-1)^k v^{-\frac{k(k+2l+3)}{2}}(v-v^{-1})^k\\
&\qquad\times\begin{bmatrix} r-k\\ l\end{bmatrix}\frac{[r+k]![\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{[k]!}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ \sigma+k\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r\\ \frac{m-n}{2}+\sigma & n-k-\sigma & r-k\end{bmatrix}\\
&=(-1)^{\frac{m+n}{2}+r}\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma}v^{\frac{k(3+k-2l+4\sigma-2n+2r)}{2}}(v-v^{-1})^k\\
&\qquad\times\begin{bmatrix} r-k\\ l-k\end{bmatrix}\frac{[r+k]![\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{[k]!}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ \sigma+k\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r\\ \frac{m+n}{2}-\sigma & \sigma+k & r+k\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The result follows from a rather tedious calculation using $\phi=(\ ,\ )$, the normalized nondegenerate form on $\mathcal{F}_a$, and Corollary 7.3. □

8.2. In this section we suppose $\mathcal{F}_m$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ are the X-admissible finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-modules given in §5.1. For any homomorphism $\beta :_R \mathcal{F}_m\otimes_R\mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1}\to {}_RF(\mathbf{U})$ which has the form

$$\beta=\sum_{m,n,k} r_k^{m,n}\beta_{2k}^{m,n} \tag{8.2.1}$$

where $r_k^{m,n}\in R$, observe that $s\circ\beta$ has the same form.

8.5. **Theorem.** *Let M be the Verma module of highest weight Tv^{-1} (so that $\lambda=0$) and assume that $\beta :_R \mathcal{F}_m\otimes_R\mathcal{F}_n^{\rho_1}\to {}_RF(\mathbf{U})$ has the form (8.2.1). If ϕ is a ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-invariant pairing on M satisfying $s\circ\phi_\pi\circ L=\phi\circ(\Psi\otimes\Psi)$, then*

$$\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}=\chi_{s\beta,\phi}\ . \tag{8.2.2}$$

Proof. Since $\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}$ is R-linear in β we can reduce to the case that $\beta=a\beta_{2r}^{m,n}$ for some $a\in R$. Let us choose basis $\{F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\,|\,k\in\mathbb{N}\}$, $\{u_i^{(m)}\,|\,0\le i\le m\}$, $\{u_i^{(n)}\,|\,0\le i\le n\}$, respectively for $M=N$, $\mathcal{F}_m$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$, where $m_{0,1}$ is a lowest weight vector of weight Tv that generates M_π, and $u^{(m)}$ and $u^{(n)}$ are highest weight vectors of weights v^m and v^n.

Now the element $m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(m)}$ generates $(M\otimes\mathcal{F}_m)_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$ (see Lemma 6.3), so we can reduce the proof of the identity further to showing that

(8.2.3)

$$\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(\bar\Psi(m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(m)}),\bar\Psi(F^{(-k)}\zeta\otimes u_i^{(n)}))=\chi_{s\beta,\phi}(\bar\Psi(m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(m)}),\bar\Psi(F^{(-k)}\zeta\otimes u_i^{(n)}))$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le j \le n$. Indeed since both sides are invariant, if $w \in (M \otimes \mathcal{F}_m)_\pi^{sT'_{-1}}$, then there exists $u \in {}_R\mathbf{U}$ such that $w = u\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)})$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(w, \bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)})) &= \chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(u\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)}) \\
&= \chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}),\, \rho(u)\bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)})) \\
&= \chi_{s\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), \rho(u)\bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)})) \\
&= \chi_{s\beta,\phi}(u\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)})) \\
&= \chi_{s\beta,\phi}(w, \bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)})).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality would be true as $\rho(u)\bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)})$ is a linear combination of other $\bar{\Psi}(F^{(-l)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_s^{(n)})$. Recall that $\mathcal{K}$ is the field of fractions of R. By [CE95, Enr95, Lemma 4.2], to prove (8.2.3), we need to show

$$(8.2.4) \quad {}_{\mathcal{K}}\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(n)})) = {}_{\mathcal{K}}\chi_{s\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(n)}))$$

Now ${}_{\mathcal{K}}M_\pi \otimes \mathcal{E}_n$ is a direct sum of lowest weight irreducible Verma modules with lowest weight vector $m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1}$ of weight $Tv^{\epsilon r'+1}$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $r' = n - 2p$, $0 \le p \le n$. From this fact we get that $F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \otimes u_j^{(n)}$ is a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $E^{(i)}m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1}$. Hence we need to show

$$ {}_{\mathcal{K}}\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(E^{(i)}m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1})) = {}_{\mathcal{K}}\chi_{s\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(E^{(i)}m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1}))$$

for all r', ϵ and i with $\epsilon r' + 2i = m$. Using the invariance of the two forms and the fact that $m_{0,1}$ is a lowest weight vector, proving the above identity is equivalent to verifying

$$ {}_{\mathcal{K}}\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1})) = {}_{\mathcal{K}}\chi_{s\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}), \Psi(m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1})).$$

Recall the definition of $\sigma(\epsilon, n, p)$ and $a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)$ from (6.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi^\sharp_{\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1})) = s \circ \chi_{\beta,\phi_\pi} \circ L(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}, m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1}) \\
&\quad = \sum_q (-1)^q v^{-\frac{q(q-1)}{2}} \{q\} s \circ \chi_{\beta,\phi_\pi}(F^{(q)}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}), E^{(q)} * (m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1})) \\
&\quad = s \circ \chi_{\beta,\phi_\pi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}, \mathcal{L}(m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1})) \\
&\quad = s \circ \chi_{\beta,\phi_\pi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}, m_{\epsilon r',\epsilon r'+1}) \\
&\quad = s \circ \phi_\pi(m_{0,1}, \sum_k a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)(\epsilon)\rho_1(\beta(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_{k+\sigma}^{(n)}))F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}) \\
&\quad = \phi(\Psi(\mathcal{L}^{-1}m_{0,1}), \Psi(\sum_k a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)\rho_1(\beta(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_{k+\sigma}^{(n)}))F^{(-k)}m_{0,1})) \\
&\quad = \phi\left(\Psi(m_{0,1}), \Psi\left(\sum_k a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)\rho_1(\beta(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_{k+\sigma}^{(n)}))F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The seventh equality is due to the fact that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}m_{0,1} = m_{0,1}$. We may assume that $\sigma - i + \frac{m-n}{2} = 0$, as distinct root spaces are orthogonal. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{s\beta,\phi}(\bar{\Psi}(m_{0,1} \otimes u_i^{(m)}), \bar{\Psi}(m_{\epsilon r,\epsilon r+1})) \\
&\qquad = v^{-2(n-\sigma)(\sigma+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{n-\sigma} v^{s(1-\epsilon(n-2p))} a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \phi\left(\Psi(m_{0,1}), \Psi\left(\left(\rho_1 \circ T'_{-1} \circ \beta\right)\left(T''_1(u_i^{(m)}) \otimes T''_1(u_{\sigma+k}^{(n)})\right) F^{(-k)} m_{0,1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We used the reduction to $s\beta = \beta$ and the fact that $\rho_1 \circ T'_{-1} = T'_{-1} \circ \rho_1$. Consequently the two sides of (8.2.2) are equal provided

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(8.2.5)}\qquad &\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma} a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k)\rho_1(\beta(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_{k+\sigma}^{(n)}))F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \\
&\qquad = v^{-2(n-\sigma)(\sigma+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma} v^{s(1-\epsilon(n-2p))} a_{\epsilon,n,p}(k) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \left(\rho_1 \circ T'_{-1} \circ \beta\right)\left(T''_1(u_i^{(m)}) \otimes T''_1(u_{\sigma+k}^{(n)})\right) F^{(-k)} m_{0,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will now expand out the two sides and eventually show that they are equal. We begin with a calculation for the left hand side.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1\left(\beta_{2r}^{m,n}(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_{k+\sigma}^{(n)})\right) F^{(-k)} m_{0,1} \\
&\qquad = (-1)^{\sigma} v^{k(2k+2i-m)+(m-i)n-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}+(2i-1-m)\sigma+r(1+2r)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+\sigma \end{bmatrix} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times ||u^{(2r)}||^{-2} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-k-\sigma & r-k \end{bmatrix} \frac{[r-k]![r+k]!}{[2r]!} T^{2k} \begin{bmatrix} T;r \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} m_{0,1}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_k a_{\epsilon,n,p}\rho_1(\beta(u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_{k+\sigma}^{(n)}))F^{(-k)}m_{0,1} \\
&= (-1)^{\sigma} \frac{v^{(2i-1-m)\sigma+r(1+2r)+(m-i)n-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}}}{||u^{(2r)}||^2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} [T;r]_{(r)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{n-\sigma,r\}} (-1)^k v^{-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+\sigma \end{bmatrix} \frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{[T;k]_{(k)}} \frac{[r+k]!}{[k]![2r]!} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ i & n-k-\sigma & r-k \end{bmatrix} T^k m_{0,1}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.4 and Corollary 7.1. The right hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v^{-2(n-\sigma)(\sigma+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma}(-1)^k\frac{v^{k(1-n+2\sigma)}[\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{T^k[T,k]_{(k)}}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\rho_1\circ T'_{-1}\circ\beta\right)\left(T''_1(u_i^{(m)})\otimes T''_1(u_{\sigma+k}^{(n)})\right)F^{(-k)}m_{0,1}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{l=0}^{k+r}(-1)^{r-l}v^{l(r-k+1)}\begin{bmatrix}T;k\\ l\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}T;r+k-l\\ r+k-l\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}r+k-l\\ r\end{bmatrix}m_{0,1}\\
&=(-1)^{m-i+r}\frac{v^{i(i-1)+m-2\,n+m\,n+\sigma(\sigma+2-m-n)+r^2-\frac{(m+n)^2}{4}}}{||u^{(2r)}||^2}\begin{bmatrix}m\\ i\end{bmatrix}[T;r]_{(r)}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{k}(-1)^k\frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}v^{k(1+2\,i-m)}}{T^k[T;k]_{(k)}}\begin{bmatrix}n\\ \sigma+k\end{bmatrix}\frac{[r+k]!}{[k]![2r]!}\begin{bmatrix}m & n & 2r\\ m-i & \sigma+k & r+k\end{bmatrix}m_{0,1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows from the Chu-Vandermonde formula, Lemma 2.2.

Thus to prove they are equal we need for $2\sigma-2i+m-n=0$ and $|m-n|\le 2r\le m+n$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v^{(2\sigma-n-1)\sigma+r(1+r)+\left(\frac{m+n}{2}-\sigma\right)n}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma}(-1)^kv^{-k}\frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{[r]!}\begin{bmatrix}n\\ \sigma+k\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}r\\ k\end{bmatrix}\frac{[r-k]![r+k]!}{[2r]!}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\begin{bmatrix}m & n & 2r\\ \frac{m-n}{2}+\sigma & n-k-\sigma & r-k\end{bmatrix}[T;r]_{(r-k)}T^k\\
&=(-1)^{\frac{m+n}{2}+r}\sum_{k=0}^{\min\{r,n-\sigma\}}(-1)^kv^{k(1+2\sigma-n)}\frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}}{[r]!}\begin{bmatrix}n\\ \sigma+k\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}r\\ k\end{bmatrix}\frac{[r-k]![r+k]!}{[2r]!}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\begin{bmatrix}m & n & 2r\\ \frac{m+n}{2}-\sigma & \sigma+k & r+k\end{bmatrix}[T;r]_{(r-k)}T^{-k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove that these are equal we need to expand in powers of T and then show that the coefficients are equal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v^{\frac{2\,n+n^2-(2m+m^2)}{4}+n-2\sigma+\frac{3r(r+1)}{2}}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{l\ge0}(-1)^lv^{-l(r+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma}(-1)^k\frac{[r+k]!}{[n-\sigma-k]![k]!}\begin{bmatrix}m & n & 2r\\ \frac{m-n}{2}+\sigma & n-k-\sigma & r-k\end{bmatrix}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{v^{-\frac{k(k+2l+3)}{2}}}{(v-v^{-1})^{r-k}}\begin{bmatrix}r-k\\ l\end{bmatrix}T^{r-2l}\\
&=(-1)^{\frac{m+n}{2}+r}v^{\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}\sum_{l\ge0}(-1)^lv^{-l(r+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma}\frac{v^{\frac{k(3+k-2l+4\sigma-2n+2r)}{2}}}{(v-v^{-1})^{r-k}}\frac{[r+k]!}{[n-\sigma-k]![k]!}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\begin{bmatrix}m & n & 2r\\ \frac{m+n}{2}-\sigma & \sigma+k & r+k\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}r-k\\ l-k\end{bmatrix}T^{r-2l}
\end{aligned}
$$

This reduces to the follwing identity between Clebsch-Gordan coefficients that must hold for all m, n with $m+n$ even, $0 \le l \le r$, $|m-n| \le 2r \le m+n$, $0 \le \sigma \le n$:

$$\begin{aligned} (8.2.6) \quad & v^{\frac{2n+n^2-(2\,m+m^2)}{4}+n-2\,\sigma+r(r+1)} \\ & \times \sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma} (-1)^k v^{-\frac{k(k+2l+3)}{2}} (v-v^{-1})^k \frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}[r+k]!}{[k]!} \\ & \qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \sigma+k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r-k \\ l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ \frac{m-n}{2}+\sigma & n-k-\sigma & r-k \end{bmatrix} \\ & = (-1)^{\frac{m+n}{2}+r} \sum_{k=0}^{n-\sigma} v^{\frac{k(3+k-2l+4\sigma-2n+2r)}{2}} (v-v^{-1})^k \frac{[\sigma+k]_{(k)}[r+k]!}{[k]!} \\ & \qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \sigma+k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r-k \\ l-k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m & n & 2r \\ \frac{m+n}{2}-\sigma & \sigma+k & r+k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The above identity follows from Lemma 8.4.

□

8.3. Let us return to the setting of section one. Fix a finite dimensional X-admissible $\mathbf{U}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ with highest weight v^n and let M be the Verma module of highest weight Tv^{-1}. Recall from [CE95, §2] the modules $P(m+\lambda) := P_{m+\lambda}$. Then we have the decomposition $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum_i P(m+i)$ where the sum is over the nonnegative weights of $\mathcal{F}$ and by convention we set $P(m) = M(m)$. Set $P_i = P(m+i)$ and following the notation of [Enr95, 3.6] let $\mathbb{Z}_i$ equal the set of integers with the opposite parity to i. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota$, set $z^i_j = w_{\lambda,j} + w_{-\lambda,j}$. Then for $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the set $\{[T;0]w_{\lambda,j} : j \in \mathbb{Z}_i\} \cup \{z^i_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota\}$ is an R basis for the localization $P_{i,F}$. Also the action of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ is given by the formulas in Lemma 6.2 as well as the formulas : for all indices $j \in \mathbb{Z}_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu z^i_j &= T\, v^{\langle \mu, s\lambda-\rho-l_j i' \rangle} z^i_j, \\ F z^i_j &= z^i_{j-2}, \\ E z_j &= [l_j][T;-k_j] z^i_{j+2} + [k_j - l_j][T;0] w_{\lambda,j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix a positive weight v^r of $\mathcal{F}$ and let $P = P_r$. Set $\mathfrak{L}$ equal to the $m-r$-th weight space of P. Then $\mathfrak{L}$ is a free rank two R-module with basis $\{z^r_{-r-1}, [T;0]w_{r,-r-1}\}$. Define an s-linear map Γ on $\mathfrak{L}$ and constants $a_{\pm r}$ by the formula:

$$(8.3.1) \qquad \Gamma([T;0]w_{\epsilon r,-r-1}) = \bar{\Psi}([T;0]w_{\epsilon r,r+1}) = a_{\epsilon r}\ [T;0]w_{-\epsilon r,-r-1}.$$

This s-linear map Γ is the mechanism by which we analyze the symmetries which arise through the exchange of $\mathfrak{L} \cap ([T;0] \cdot M(m+r))$ and $\mathfrak{L} \cap ([T;0] \cdot M(m-r))$. This is a fundamental calculation for all which follows. Set $\overline{\Gamma} = [r]!\,\Gamma$.

8.6. **Lemma.** *Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$. For $a, b \in \mathfrak{L} \cap M(m+\epsilon r)$, we have:*

$$\chi^\sharp(\Gamma a, \Gamma b) = \frac{1}{T^r [r]! [T^{-\epsilon}; r]_{(r)}}\, s\chi(a,b) \quad \textit{and} \quad \chi^\sharp(\overline{\Gamma} a, \overline{\Gamma} b) = u_\epsilon\ s\chi(a,b),$$

where u_ϵ is a unit and $u_\epsilon \equiv 1 \mod (T-1)$.

Proof. Using the defining identity for localization [CE95, 4.3.2], we obtain, for any invariant form χ on P and $\epsilon = \pm 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\epsilon r}^2\ \chi^\sharp([T;0]w_{-\epsilon r,-r-1},[T;0]w_{-\epsilon r,-r-1}) &= \chi^\sharp(\Gamma[T;0]w_{\epsilon r,-r-1},\Gamma[T;0]w_{\epsilon r,-r-1}) \\ &= s\chi_{_F}([T;0]w_{\epsilon r,r+1},[T;0]w_{\epsilon r,r+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{T^r[r]![T^{-\epsilon};r]_{(r)}} s\chi([T;0]w_{\epsilon r,-r-1},[T;0]w_{\epsilon r,-r-1})\ . \end{aligned}$$

This implies the lemma. □

8.4. Now we turn to the delicate calculation of the constant $a_{\pm r}$.

8.7. **Lemma.** *We may choose a basis for P_r satisfying the relations in (6.2) and (8.3), dependent only on the cycle $\bar{\Psi}$, and such that the constants $a_{\pm r}$ are uniquely determined by the three relations:*

$$a_{-r} = s\ a_r\ ,\quad a_r^2 = \frac{1}{[r]![T^{-1};r]_{(r)}} \quad \textit{and} \quad a_r \equiv \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{[r]!} \mod T-1\ .$$

8.8. **Corollary.** *For $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $\overline{\Gamma}([T;0]w_{\epsilon r,-r-1}] = b_{r,\epsilon}[T;0]w_{-\epsilon r,-r-1}$, where $b_{r,\epsilon}$ is the unit determined by conditions:*

$$b_{r,\epsilon}^2 = \frac{[r]!}{[T^{-\epsilon};r]_{(r)}} \quad \textit{and} \quad b_{r,\epsilon} \equiv -1-\epsilon\alpha(r)(T-1) \quad \mod (T-1)^2$$

where $\alpha(r) = \frac{r}{2} - \{1\}^{-1}\sum_{s=1}^{r}\frac{v^s}{[s]}$ *(see (2.1.5)). Moreover $\overline{\Gamma}$ induces a $k(v)$-linear map on $\mathfrak{L}/\ (T-1)\cdot\mathfrak{L}$ given by the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha(r)\{1\} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.4.1}$$

Moreover, if $x_\epsilon \in M(m+\epsilon r)$ and $[T;0]\cdot x_\epsilon$ is an R-basis vector for $\mathfrak{L}\cap M(m+\epsilon r)$, then $\{[T;0]\cdot x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon + \overline{\Gamma}x_\epsilon\}$ is an R-basis for $\mathfrak{L}$ and $x_\epsilon + \overline{\Gamma}x_\epsilon$ generates the ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-submodule P_r.

Proof. The first identity is a direct consequence of the lemma. As for the second set $z = z^r_{-r-1}$ and $w_\pm = w_{\pm r,-r-1}$ and let π denote the projection $\pi : \mathfrak{L} \to \mathfrak{L}/\ (T-1)\cdot\mathfrak{L}$. Then $\mathfrak{L}$ has R-basis $\{[T;0]w_+, z\}$ and since $z = w_+ + w_-$, we obtain $\pi([T;0]w_+) = -\pi([T;0]w_-)$. So $\overline{\Gamma}([T;0]w_+) = b_{r,1}[T;0]w_- \equiv -b_{r,1}[T;0]w_+ \equiv [T;0]w_+ \mod (T-1)\cdot\mathfrak{L}$. This gives the first column in the matrix.

From the s-linearity of $\overline{\Gamma}$ and the congruence for the unit $b_{r,\epsilon}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Gamma}z &= -b_{r,1}w_- - b_{r,-1}w_+ \equiv z + \alpha(r)((T-1)w_- - (T-1)w_+) \\ &\equiv z - 2\alpha(r)(T-1)w_+ \equiv z - \alpha(r)\{1\}[T;0]w_+ \mod (T-1)\cdot\mathfrak{L} \end{aligned}$$

To prove the identity for $\alpha(r)$ just solve $b_{r,\epsilon}^2 = \frac{[r]!}{[T^{-\epsilon};r]_{(r)}}$ using (2.1.5). Finally a short calculation shows that the transition matrix from the basis $\{z, [T;0]w_\epsilon\}$ to $\{w_\epsilon + \overline{\Gamma}w_\epsilon, [T;0]w_\epsilon\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+[T;0]\overline{u}_\epsilon & 0 \\ -\overline{u}_\epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{8.4.2}$$

where $\overline{u}_\epsilon$ is the unit

$$(-b_{r,\epsilon}-1)/[T;0] \equiv \epsilon \frac{\alpha(r)T(v-v^{-1})}{1+T} \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{2}\alpha(r)\{1\} \mod (T-1).$$

If $[T;0]\cdot x$ is a basis vector of $\mathfrak{L}\cap M(m+\epsilon r)$ then $x = uw_\epsilon$ for some unit u and the transition matrix takes the form as in (8.4.2), with the unit $\overline{u}_\epsilon$ replaced by the element of R equal to $(-(su)b_{r,\epsilon}-u)/[T;0]$. The determinant of this matrix is a unit which implies we have a basis. This completes the proof of the corollary. $\square$

Proof. We begin with any basis satisfying Lemma 6.2 and (8.3) and let $a_{\pm r}$ be given by (8.3.1). Since the vector z^r_{r+1} projects to a cyclic vector of its generalized eigenspace in $M_\pi \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$, we find that $\Psi(z^r_{r+1}) = -a_r w_{-r,-r-1} - a_{-r}w_{r,-r-1}$ is a cyclic vector of P. Thus both $a_{\pm r}$ are units and $a_r \equiv a_{-r} \mod T-1$. Any automorphism κ is given by multiples of the identity $\beta\cdot 1$ on $M(m+r)$ and $\gamma\cdot 1$ on $M(m-r)$ with both β and γ units and $\beta\equiv\gamma \mod T-1$. Set $w_\epsilon = w_{\epsilon r,-r-1}$. Then $\Gamma(\kappa w_+) = \Gamma(\beta w_+) = s\beta\Gamma(w_+) = -\frac{s\beta}{\gamma}a_r\kappa w_-$ and similarly, $\Gamma(\kappa w_-) = -\frac{s\gamma}{\beta}a_{-r}\kappa w_+$. From the preceding remark $\frac{sa_r}{a_{-r}} \equiv 1 \mod T-1$ and so we set $s\gamma$ equal to the square root of this quotient which is also congruent to 1 mod $T-1$. Put $\beta = 1$. If κ is the automorphism of P corresponding to this choice of β and γ, then applying κ to the original basis of P gives a basis for which the constants $a_{\pm r}$ satisfy the first identity of the lemma $a_{-r} = s\ a_r$.

Fix a form ϕ_M on M with $\phi_M = \phi_M^\sharp$ and let $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ be an invariant form on $\mathcal{F}$. Set $\phi = \phi_M\otimes\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$. Using the invariance (Proposition 4.6) for ϕ_π we now check that $\phi=\phi^\sharp$. As in the proof of Theorem 8.5 we need only check on certain basis vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi^\sharp(\bar\Psi(m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}), \bar\Psi(F^{(-i)}m_{0,1}\otimes u_i^{(n)})) = s\phi_\pi\circ L(m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}\otimes F^{(-i)}m_{0,1}\otimes u_i^{(n)})\\
&\quad= \sum_p v^{-p(p-1)/2}\{p\}\\
&\qquad\qquad \sum_{p=r'+r''} v^{p-r'r''} s[\phi_{M,\pi}(m_{0,1}, F^{(r')}F^{(-i)}m_{0,1})\phi_{\mathcal{F}}(u_p^{(n)}, K^{-r'}F^{(r'')}u_i^{(n)})]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{by [CE95, Theorem 5.1]}\\
&\quad= v^{2\,i^2-i\,n}\sum_p v^{\frac{-p(p-3)}{2}+p(i-n)+p^2}\{p\}\begin{bmatrix} p\\ p-i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ p\end{bmatrix}T^{-i}\begin{bmatrix} T^{-1};i\\ i\end{bmatrix} s[\phi_{M,\pi}(m_{0,1},m_{0,1})]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{by Lemma 6.1 and (4.1.3)}\\
&\quad= v^{2\,i^2-i\,n}\sum_p v^{\frac{p(p+3)}{2}+p(i-n)}\{p\}\begin{bmatrix} n-i\\ p-i\end{bmatrix}T^{-i}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} T^{-1};i\\ i\end{bmatrix}\phi_M(\Psi(m_{0,1}),\Psi(m_{0,1})).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(\bar\Psi(m_{0,1}\otimes u^{(n)}), \bar\Psi(F^{(-i)}m_{0,1}\otimes u_i^{(n)}))\\
&\quad= v^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i^2+2n}\{i\}T^{-i}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} T^{-1};i\\ i\end{bmatrix}\phi_M(\Psi(m_{0,1}),\Psi(m_{0,1}))\phi_{\mathcal{F}}(u^{(n)},u^{(n)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now if we use Corollary 6.6 with $p=0$ and replace k by p and s by i we get

$$v^{i(1-r)-2n}\{i\} = \sum_{p=i}^{n}(-1)^{p+i}v^{p(r-2p-1)+\frac{(p-i)(3p+i-1)}{2}}\{p\}\begin{bmatrix} n-i\\ p-i\end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the automorphism of $k(v)$ induced by $v \mapsto v^{-1}$ we get

$$v^{2n-i(1-r)}\{i\} = v^{\frac{i^2-i}{2}} \sum_{p=i}^{n} v^{\frac{p^2+3p}{2}+p\,(i-r)}\{p\}\begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ p-i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking $r = n$ then

$$v^{2i^2-i\,n} \sum_{p} v^{\frac{p(p+3)}{2}+p(i-n)}\{p\}\begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ p-i \end{bmatrix} = v^{2n+i^2+\frac{i^2-i}{2}}\{i\}.$$

This completes the proof that $\phi = \phi^\sharp$.

Now from (8.3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\epsilon r}^2\ \phi^\sharp(w_{-\epsilon r,-r-1}, w_{-\epsilon r,-r-1}) &= s\phi_\pi(w_{\epsilon r,r+1}, w_{\epsilon r,r+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{[r]!T^r\ [T^{-\epsilon}; r]_{(r)}} s\phi(w_{\epsilon r,-r-1}, w_{\epsilon r,-r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using this identity twice with $\phi = \phi^\sharp$ we obtain:

$$a_r^4 = (a_r\ sa_{-r})^2 = \left(\frac{1}{[r]!\ [T^{-1}; r]_{(r-1)}}\right)^2. \tag{8.4.3}$$

This gives the second identity of Lemma 8.7 up to a sign. The correct sign is implied by the third identity which we now prove. □

8.5. To verify the correct choice of sign for the third identity we shall need some preliminary lemmas. Let M' denote the span of all the weight subspaces of M other than the highest weight space. Let δ denote the projection of $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ onto $w_{0,-1} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ with kernel $M' \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$. Define constants $c_\pm$ by the relations: $\delta(w_{r,r-1}) \equiv c_+ w_{0,-1} \otimes u_k^{(n)} \mod M' \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ and $\delta(w_{-r,-r-1}) \equiv c_- w_{0,-1} \otimes u_l^{(n)} \mod M' \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ where $n-2p-1 = r-1$ and $n-2l-1 = -r-1$. For any integer t set $z_t = w_{0,-1} \otimes u_t^{(n)}$. In a similar fashion define the projection $\delta^\vee$ of $M_F \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ onto $w_{0,1} \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ with kernel $M^\vee \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ and $M^\vee$ equal to the span of all weight subspaces in M_F for weights other than $m+1$.

8.9. **Lemma.** *For r a non-negative integer*

$$\delta^\vee(w_{r,r+1}) = c_+ \frac{v^{(n-k)(k+1)}[T^{-1}; 2k-n-1]_{(p)}}{[T^{-1}; p]_{(p)}}\ w_{0,1} \otimes u_p^{(n)}, \tag{8.5.1}$$

$$-\frac{c_-}{[l]!} \equiv \frac{c_+}{[p]!} \mod T-1,$$

$$\textit{and} \quad \delta(\bar{\Psi} w_{r,r+1}) \equiv c_- \frac{(-1)^{r+1} v^{2l(l-r+1)}}{[r]!} z_l \mod (T-1)\cdot P_r.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\mathbf{U}$-module that is X-admissible and finite dimensional, then we have the expansion (see [CE95, 3.9.1])

$$F^{-s} \otimes a \otimes e \ \mapsto\ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} j+s-1 \\ s-1 \end{bmatrix}_i v^{-j(j+s)}\ F^{-j-s}a \otimes F^j K^{j+s} e\ , \tag{8.5.2}$$

Recall from Lemma 6.4

$$w_{r,r-1} = c_+ \sum_{0\le j\le p} \frac{[n-p+j]_{(j)} v^{-j^2}}{T^{-j}[T^{-1}; j]_{(j)}} F^{(j)} w_{0,-1} \otimes u_{p-j}^{(n)} \tag{8.5.3}$$

for $r = n - 2p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &F^{-1} w_{r,r-1} \\ &= c_+ \sum_{j=0}^{p} v^{-j^2+(j+1)(n-2p+2j)} \frac{[n-p+j]_{(j)}}{T^{-j}[T^{-1};j]_{(j)}[j]![p-j]!} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \Big(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^l v^{-l(l+1)} [p-j+l]! F^{j-l-1} w_{0,-1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{p-j+l} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Set $u = w_{0,1} \otimes u^{(n)}_p$.

$$\delta^\vee (F^{-1} w_{r,r-1}) = \frac{c_+ v^{(n-p)(p+1)}}{[T^{-1};p]_{(p)}} \ [T^{-1}; 2p-n-1]_{(p)} \ \cdot u.$$

Here we have used the binomial identity given in Lemma 2.1. This implies

$$w_{r,r+1} = F^{-1} w_{r,r-1} = \frac{c_+ v^{(n-p)(p+1)}}{[T^{-1};p]_{(p)}} [T^{-1}; 2p-n-1]_{(p)} m_{r,r+1}.$$

Thus by (6.3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar\Psi(w_{r,r+1}) &= (-1)^{n-p} \frac{s c_+ v^{-(n-p)(p+1)}}{[T;p]_{(p)}} [T; 2p-n-1]_{(p)} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} \frac{v^{s(1-r)+(n-p-s)(p+s+1)} [p+s]_{(s)}}{T^{-s}[T^{-1},s]_{(s)}} F^{(s)} w_{0,-1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{n-p-s} \\ &= (-1)^{n-p} \frac{s c_+}{[T;p]_{(p)}} [T; 2p-n-1]_{(p)} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{s=0}^{n-p} \frac{v^{-s^2} [p+s]_{(s)}}{T^{-s}[T^{-1},s]_{(s)}} F^{(s)} w_{0,-1} \otimes u^{(n)}_{n-p-s} \\ &= (-1)^{n-p} \frac{s c_+}{[T;p]_{(p)}} [T; 2p-n-1]_{(p)} w_{-r,-r-1} \end{aligned}$$

In P_r we know from the basis that $F^r w_{r,r-1} \equiv -w_{-r,-r-1} \mod (T-1) \cdot P_r$. Since the action of F commutes with δ, we obtain: $[p]!\ c_- \equiv -[l]!\ c_+ \mod T-1$. For the last identity of the Lemma apply δ to the equation above. We get

$$\delta(\bar\Psi w_{r,r+1}) \equiv (-1)^{r+1} c_- \ \frac{1}{[r]!} \ z_l$$

Here we use $r + p = l = n - p$ and $[T;r] \equiv [r] \mod (T-1)$.

$\square$

We now return to the proof of the congruence. Since $\Psi(w_{r,r-1}) = -a_r w_{-r,-r-1}$ we can calculate the constant a_r as the ratio of $\delta(\Psi(w_{r,r+1}))$ and $\delta(w_{-r,-r-1})$. From (8.9) we find the ratio is congruent to $\frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{[r]!} \mod T-1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.7.

8.6. Recall from (3.3) the category ${}_R\mathcal{C}_i$ and note that any module N in the category is the direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for the Casimir element [Jos95] in the sense that $N = \sum N^{(\pm r)}$ where the sum is over $\mathbb{N}$ and $N^{(\pm r)}$ contains all highest weight vectors in N with weights $m + r - 1$ and $m - r - 1$. Note that $N^{(\pm r)}$ need not be generated by its highest weight vectors. The decomposition in §8.3,

$M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \equiv \sum P_i$ where the sum is over the nonnegative weights of ${}_R\mathcal{F}$ is such a decomposition. In this case $(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(\pm i)} = P_i$. The Casimir element Ω_0 of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ by

$$\Omega_0 = FE + \frac{vK - 2 + v^{-1}K^{-1}}{(v - v^{-1})^2}.$$

Let $N^{(r)}$ (resp. $N^{(-r)}$) denote the submodule of N where the Casimir element acts by the scaler

$$c(\lambda) = \frac{v^{r-1}T - 2 + v^{-r+1}T^{-1}}{(v - v^{-1})^2} \quad \text{resp.} \quad c(s\lambda) = \frac{v^{-r+1}T - 2 + v^{r-1}T^{-1}}{(v - v^{-1})^2}$$

8.7. We now turn to the general case where $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}$-module but not necessarily irreducible. We extend the definition of the s-linear maps Γ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ defined in (8.3.1) as follows. Decompose $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ into generalized eigenspaces for the Casimir $(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(\pm r)}$ and let $\mathfrak{L}^r$ denote the $m - r - 1$ weight subspace of $(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(\pm r)}$. Then set $\mathbb{L} = \sum \mathfrak{L}^r$. Decompose $\mathcal{F} = \sum \mathcal{F}_{n_j}$ into irreducible ${}_R\mathbf{U}$ modules. Then $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}_{n_j}$ and so we obtain s-linear extensions also denoted Γ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ from $\mathbb{L} \cap (M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}_j)$ to all of $\mathfrak{L}$. Set $(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(+)} := \oplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}^*} M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}^{(r)}$

8.10. **Proposition.** *Suppose ϕ is any invariant form on $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ with $\phi = \pm\phi^\sharp$. Let $\{w_j, j \in J\}$ be an R-basis for the highest weight space of $(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(0)}$ and $\{u_i, i \in I\}$ a basis of weight vectors for the E-invariant weight spaces of weight $m + t$ for $t < -1$. Set M_j equal to the ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module generated by w_j and Q_l the ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module generated by $(T - 1)^{-1}(u_l + \Gamma(u_l))$. Then $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum_j M_j \oplus \sum_l Q_l$ where each $M_j \cong M(m)$ and if u_l has weight $m - t$, then $Q_l \cong P(m + t)$. Moreover, if the basis vectors w_j and u_l are ϕ-orthogonal then the sum is an orthogonal sum of ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-modules.*

Proof. Since $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}_j$ we may apply Corollary 8.8 to each summand to obtain an R-basis of weight vectors $\{[T, 0] \cdot x_i\}$ for $\mathfrak{L} \cap (M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(+)}$ for which $\{[T, 0] \cdot x_i, x_i + \overline{\Gamma} x_i\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{L}$ and the ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module generated by $\mathfrak{L}$ is the direct sum of the submodules generated by the vectors $x_i + \overline{\Gamma} x_i$. If x_i has weight $m + t_i - 1$ then ${}_R\mathbf{U} \cdot (x_i + \overline{\Gamma} x_i) \cong P(m + t_i)$ and the intersection of this module with $\mathfrak{L}$ has R-basis $\{[T; 0] \cdot x_i, x_i + \overline{\Gamma} x_i\}$.

Now let A denote the transition matrix from the basis $\{[T; 0] \cdot u_i, i \in I\}$ to the basis $\{[T; 0] \cdot x_i, i \in I\}$. Then the determinant of A is a unit of R. The block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} sA & 0 \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } [T; 0] \cdot B = A - sA \tag{8.7.1}$$

is the transition matrix from $\{u_i + \overline{\Gamma} u_i, [T; 0] \cdot u_i\}$ to $\{x_i + \overline{\Gamma} x_i, [T; 0] \cdot x_i\}$. The determinant is a unit and so the former set is a basis of $\mathfrak{L}$. From this we conclude $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum_j M_j \oplus \sum_i Q_i$ and each $\mathfrak{L} \cap Q_i$ has R-basis $\{u_i + \overline{\Gamma} u_i, [T; 0] \cdot u_i\}$. So if the basis vectors w_j and u_i are ϕ-orthogonal then the sum $\mathfrak{L} = \sum_j \mathfrak{L} \cap M_j \oplus \sum_i \mathfrak{L} \cap Q_i$ is an orthogonal sum. It follows that $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum_j M_j \oplus \sum_i Q_i$ is an orthogonal sum. □

8.8. **Diagonalizing forms.** Fix a non-zero form ϕ on A, a free R-module of rank n. Choose an integer d_1 with $\phi(A, A) = R\pi^{d_1}$ and choose vectors a_1 and a_1' with $\phi(a_1, a_1') = \pi^{d_1}$

8.11**. Lemma.** *Suppose the form ϕ is not zero. Set $A_1 = \{a \in A | \phi(a, a'_1) = 0\}$ and $A'_1 = \{a \in A | \phi(a_1, a) = 0\}$. Then we have direct sum decompositions*

$$Ra_1 \oplus A_1 \cong A \cong Ra'_1 \oplus A'_1 \ .$$

Moreover if ϕ is symmetric we may choose a unit of R, u_1 with $u_1 a_1 = a'_1$.

Proof. For $a \in A$ define

$$P(x) = x - \frac{\phi(x, a'_1)}{\phi(a_1, a'_1)} a_1 \ ,$$

$$P'(x) = x - \frac{\phi(a_1, x)}{\phi(a_1, a'_1)} a'_1 \ .$$

Then P (resp. P') is the projection of A onto A_1 (resp. A'_1). We have

$$x = P(x) + \frac{\phi(x, a'_1)}{\phi(a_1, a'_1)} a_1 \quad , \quad x = P'(x) + \frac{\phi(a_1, x)}{\phi(a_1, a'_1)} a'_1 \ .$$

Since $a_1 \notin A$ and $a'_1 \notin A'$, these are the desired decompositions. Now suppose that ϕ is symmetric. Consider the line between a_1 and a'_1, $b_t = ta_1 + (1-t)a'_1$. Then $\phi(b_t, b_t) = t^2\phi(a_1, a_1) + 2t(1-t)\phi(a_1, a'_1) + (1-t)^2\phi(a'_1, a'_1)$. Since $t^2, 2t(1-t)$, and $(1-t)^2$ are linearly independent if we are not in characteristic two we can find an open set of t in the base field so that we have equality of ideals $R\phi(b_t, b_t) = \phi(A, A)$. Alternatively first suppose $\phi(a_1, a_1) = u_2\pi^{d_1}$ with u_2 a unit. Then we have $\phi(a_1, u_2^{-1}a_1) = \pi^{d_1}$ and we have proved the remaining statement. Similarly $\phi(a'_1, a'_1) = u'_2\pi^{d_1}$ with u'_2 a unit leads to the same conclusion. Lastly we suppose $\phi(a_1, a_1) = u_2\pi^{d_2}$ and $\phi(a'_1, a'_1) = u'_2\pi^{d'_2}$ with $d_2, d'_2 > d_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(b_t, b_t) &= t^2\phi(a_1, a_1) + 2t(1-t)\phi(a_1, a'_1) + (1-t)^2\phi(a'_1, a'_1) \\ &= (2t(1-t) + t^2u_2\pi^{d_2-d_1} + (1-t)^2u'_2\pi^{d'_2-d_1})\pi^{d_1} \\ &= u_t\pi^{d_1}\end{aligned}$$

where u_t is a unit for $t \neq 0, 1$.

From this we find a unit $u_1 \in R$ such that $\phi(b_t, u_1 b_t) = u_1\phi(b_t, b_t) = \pi^{d_1}$. □

Directly as a corollary to this lemma we have

8.12**. Corollary.** *There exist integers $m \le n$ and $d_i, 1 \le i \le m$ and two bases for A, $\{a_i | 1 \le i \le n\}$ and $\{a'_i | 1 \le i \le n\}$ with the following property*

$$\phi(a_i, a'_j) = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j}\ \pi^{d_i} & i \le m, \\ 0 & m < i \le n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover if ϕ is symmetric we may choose the bases so that $a'_i = u_i a_i$ for some choice of units $u_i, 1 \le i \le n$.

Proof. If the form is zero then any two bases will suffice. So assume ϕ is not zero and apply the lemma obtaining vectors a_1 , a'_1 and submodules A_1 , A'_1. Proceed inductively and assume for some t with $1 \le t \le n-1$ and $m' \le m$, we have chosen integers d_i and vectors $\{a_i\}$ and $\{a'_i\}$ which satisfy the conditions

$$\phi(a_i, a'_j) = \delta_{i,j}\ \pi^{d_i} \quad i \le t$$

$$\phi(a_i, a'_j) = \delta_{i,j}\ \pi^{d_i} \quad i \le m'$$

$$\phi(a_i, a'_j) = 0 \ \ , \ m < i \le t \ .$$

Also assume that for $A_t = \{a \in A | \phi(a, a'_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ and $A'_t = \{a \in A | \phi(a_i, a) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$, we have direct sum decompositions

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} Ra_i \oplus A_t \cong A \cong \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} Ra'_i \oplus A'_t \ .$$

Now choose a_{t+1} and a'_{t+1} so that $\phi(a_{t+1}, a'_{t+1}) = \phi(A_t, A'_t)$. Then applying the lemma gives the following decomposition: $Ra_{t+1} \oplus A_{t+1} \cong A_t$, $Ra'_{t+1} \oplus A'_{t+1} \cong A'_t$. This completes the inductive step which in turn proves the corollary.

□

8.13**. Corollary.** *Suppose ϕ is symmetric. There exist integers $m \leq n$ and d_i and units u_i of $R, 1 \leq i \leq m$ and a basis for A, $\{a_i\}$, such that ϕ is represented by the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix S with entries:*

$$S_{i,i} = u_i\ \pi^{d_i} \quad \textit{for} \quad i \leq m, \quad \textit{and} \quad S_{i,i} = 0 \quad i > m$$

$$S = diag(u_1 \pi^{d_1}, \ldots, u_m \pi^{d_m}, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

Note that we cannot get rid of the units in the symmetric form case since doing so would require a square root of each unit u_i. These square roots may not lie in the ring R.

8.14**. Proposition.** *Suppose ϕ is any invariant symmetric form on $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ with $\phi = \pm\phi^\sharp$. Then $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ admits an orthogonal decomposition with each summand an indecomposable ${}_R\mathbf{U}$-module and isomorphic to M or some $P(m+t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$.*

Proof. Since R is a discrete valuation ring we may choose an orthogonal R-basis for the free R-module $\mathfrak{L} \cap (M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^{(+)}$. □

9. Filtrations

9.1. We continue with the notation of the previous section. So ϕ is an invariant form on $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} = \sum_i P_i$. For any R-module B set $\overline{B} = B/(T-1) \cdot B$ and for any filtration $B = B_0 \supset B_1 \supset \ldots \supset B_r$, let $\overline{B} = \overline{B}_0 \supset \overline{B}_1 \supset \ldots \supset \overline{B}_r$ be the induced filtration of $\overline{B}$, with $\overline{B}_i = (B_i + (T-1) \cdot B)/(T-1) \cdot B$. Now ϕ induces a filtration on $M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ by

$$(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F})^i = \{v \in M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} | \phi(v, M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}) \subset (T-1)^i \cdot R\}. \tag{9.1.1}$$

9.2. Let notation be as in (8.3) with $P = P_r$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ equal to the $m-r-1$ weight subspace of P. Suppose $P = A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \ldots \supset A_t = 0$ is a filtration. Then since the U-module $P/(T-1) \cdot P$ contains only the two proper subspaces $M(\pm r)$ we can choose constants $a \geq b \geq c$, so that

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{P} = \overline{A}_0 = \cdots = \overline{A}_a \\ \cup \\ M(r) \cong \overline{A}_{a+1} = \cdots = \overline{A}_b \\ \cup \\ M(-r) \cong \overline{A}_{b+1} = \cdots = \overline{A}_c \\ \cup \\ \overline{A}_{c+1} = 0 \ . \end{array} \tag{9.2.1}$$

In this case we say that the filtration is of *type* (a, b, c). When $a = b = c$ we use the convention $\overline{P} = M(0)$.

Recall from §8.3 the bases $w_{\pm r,-r-1}$ of the $m-r-1$ weight space of $M(\pm r)$ and the basis $\{z_{r,-r-1} = w_{r,-r-1} + w_{-r,-r-1}\ ,\ [T;0]w_{r,-r-1}\}$ of the $m-r-1$ weight space of P. Fix r and for convenience set

$$w_+ = w_{r,-r-1}\ ,\ w_- = w_{-r,-r-1}\ ,\ z = w_+ + w_-\ . \tag{9.2.2}$$

Let $\phi_\pm$ denote the Shapovalov form on the Verma module $M_{\pm r}$ and normalized by the identities

$$\phi_+(w_+, w_+) = 1 \qquad \phi_-(w_-, w_-) = 1\ . \tag{9.2.3}$$

Now choose constants $b_\pm \in R$ with $\phi|_P = b_+\phi_+ + b_-\phi_-$. Then the restriction of ϕ to $\mathfrak{L}$ is given by the matrix $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the basis $\{z, [T;0]w_+\}$

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(z,z) & \phi(z,[T;0]w_+) \\ \phi([T;0]w_+, z) & \phi([T;0]w_+, [T;0]w_+) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_+ + b_- & [T;0]b_+ \\ [T;0]b_+ & [T;0]^2 b_+ \end{pmatrix}$$

Define the order of elements $a \in \mathcal{K}$ by $ord(a) = n$ if $a \in R(T-1)^n$ and $a \notin R(T-1)^{n+1}$. For a matrix define the order to be the minimum of the orders of the matrix entries. For any matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with entries in R, $ord(\mathcal{AB}) \geq ord\mathcal{A}$ and if $det\mathcal{A}$ is a unit then $ord(\mathcal{AB}) = ord(\mathcal{B})$.

The type of the filtration on P can now be determined easily by the constants $b_\pm$. The result separates into three cases.

9.1. **Lemma.** *(a). Suppose* $ord(b_+) = ord(b_-) < ord(b_+ + b_-)$. *Then the filtration on* P *is of type* (a, a, a) *with* $a = ord(b_+) + 1$.

(b). Suppose $ord(b_+ + b_-) = ord(b_+) \leq ord(b_-)$. *Then the filtration on* P *is of type* $(ord(b_+), ord(b_+) + 1, ord(b_-) + 2)$.

(c). Suppose $ord(b_+ + b_-) = ord(b_-) \leq ord(b_+)$. *Then the filtration on* P *is of type* $(ord(b_-), ord(b_+) + 1, ord(b_+) + 2)$.

Proof. Let (a, b, c) designate the type of filtration on P induced by the form ϕ. Diagonalize $\mathcal{M}$ as follows. Choose invertible R-valued 2×2 matrices $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ and integers $d_1 \leq d_2$ so that

$$\mathcal{UMV} = \begin{pmatrix} (T-1)^{d_1} & \\ & (T-1)^{d_2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}\ .$$

Then $ord(\mathcal{M}) = ord(\mathcal{D}) = d_1$ and $d_2 = ord(det(\mathcal{D})) - d_1 = ord(det(\mathcal{M})) - ord(\mathcal{M})$. We conclude $a = d_1 = ord(\mathcal{M})$ and so from the form of $\mathcal{M}$ above we get the formulas for a in the three cases. Similarly $c = d_2 = ord(det(\mathcal{M})) - ord(\mathcal{M})$ and in the three cases of the lemma this translates to the formulas in the lemma.

Next we determine b. The highest weight space of P is a free rank one R-module. So $b = ord(\phi([T;0]w_{r,r-1}, [T;0]w_{r,r-1}))$. Then for some unit $u \in R$,

$$\phi([T;0]w_{r,r-1}\ ,\ [T;0]w_{r,r-1}) = \phi(uE^r z\ ,\ [T;0]w_{r,r-1}) = \phi(uz\ ,\ F^r[T;0]w_{r,r-1}) =$$

$$\phi(uz\ ,\ [T;0]w_{r,-r-1}) = u[T;0]b_+\ .$$

So the form restricted to the highest weight space has order $ord(b_+) + 1$. This proves $b = ord(b_+) + 1$ and completes the proof of the lemma.

□

10. Examples

In this section we will roughly follow the notation in [Lus93]. A pair $(\Pi, (\ ,\ ))$ where Π is a finite set and $(\ ,\ )$ denotes a symmetric bilinear form on the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[\Pi]$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}$ is called a *Cartan datum* if
(10.0.4)

$$(\alpha,\alpha) \in \{2,4,6,\dots\} \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Pi; \quad 2\frac{(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)} \in \{0,-1,-2,\dots\} \text{ for any } \alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi.,$$

If $P \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ is a rational function then P_α denotes $P(v_\alpha)$ where $v_\alpha = v^{(\alpha,\alpha)/2}$.

10.1. **Verma modules for the quantum group $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$.** Let

$$\Phi = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm(\alpha+\beta)\}$$

be the root system of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ with $\Pi = \{\alpha,\beta\}$ a set of simple roots, W the Weyl group, and $(\ ,\ )$ the unique W-invariant form defined on Φ with $(\gamma,\gamma) = 2$ for all $\gamma \in \Phi$. Let ϖ_γ denote a fundamental weight with respect to Π, $\gamma \in \Pi$, and let the weight lattice of Φ be $\Lambda = \sum_{\gamma\in\Pi} \mathbb{Z}\varpi_\gamma$.

The quantum enveloping algebra $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$ is defined to be the associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[v,v^{-1}]$ with generators E_γ, F_γ, K_γ and K_γ^{-1}, $(\gamma \in \Pi)$ subject to the relations

$$K_\gamma K_\gamma^{-1} = K_\gamma^{-1} K_\gamma = 1, \quad K_\gamma K_\nu = K_\nu K_\gamma \tag{R1}$$

$$K_\gamma E_\nu K_\gamma^{-1} = v^{(\gamma,\nu)} E_\nu, \quad K_\gamma F_\nu K_\gamma^{-1} = v^{-(\gamma,\nu)} F_\nu \tag{R2}$$

$$[E_\gamma, F_\nu] = \delta_{\gamma,\nu} \frac{K_\gamma - K_{-\gamma}}{v_\gamma - v_\gamma^{-1}} \tag{R3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_\gamma^2 E_\nu - [2]E_\gamma E_\nu E_\gamma + E_\nu E_\gamma^2 = 0 &\quad \text{for } \gamma \neq \nu \\ F_\gamma^2 F_\nu - [2]F_\gamma F_\nu F_\gamma + F_\nu F_\gamma^2 = 0 &\quad \text{for } \gamma \neq \nu \end{aligned} \tag{R4}$$

where $\gamma, \nu \in \Pi$. One also sets $\rho = \varpi_\alpha + \varpi_\beta = \alpha + \beta$, $U = U_v(\mathfrak{sl}(3)))$ and let U^+ (resp. U^-) denote the subalgebra of U generated by E_ν (resp. F_ν) with $\nu \in \Phi$. Moreover let $U_v(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the subalgebra generated by $E_\alpha, F_\alpha, K_\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \Pi$. We will now consider $M(-\varpi_\alpha)$ which has two Verma submodules $M(-2\varpi_\beta)$ and $M(-2\varpi_\alpha - \varpi_\beta)$ due to the fact that $s_\beta(-\varpi_\alpha + \rho) - \rho = -\varpi_\alpha - \beta = -2\varpi_\beta$ and $s_\alpha(-2\varpi_\beta + \rho) - \rho = -2\varpi_\alpha - \varpi_\beta$.

The subalgebra U^- generated by F_γ, $\gamma \in \Pi$, has a basis of the form

$$T_\alpha T_\beta(F_\alpha^{(m)}) T_\alpha(F_\beta^{(n)}) F_\alpha^{(p)} = T_\alpha\left(T_\beta(F_\alpha^{(m)}) F_\beta^{(n)}\right) F_\alpha^{(p)}$$

where $m,n,p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_\alpha = T''_{1,1}, T_\beta = T''_{2,1}$ (in Lusztig's notation, see [Lus93] and [Jan96, Theorem 8.24]). By [Jan96, 8.16.(6)] $T_\alpha T_\beta(F_\alpha) = F_\beta$, so we get

$$T_\alpha T_\beta(F_\alpha^{(m)} K_\alpha^m) = F_\beta^{(m)} K_\beta^m,$$

and

$$T_\beta(F_\alpha K_\alpha) = (F_\alpha F_\beta - vF_\beta F_\alpha) K_{\beta+\alpha}. \tag{10.1.1}$$

On the other hand

$$\mathrm{ad}\,(F_\alpha)(F_\beta K_\beta) = (F_\alpha F_\beta - vF_\beta F_\alpha) K_{\alpha+\beta}$$

In the notation of section §5, we have under the adjoint action on U,

$$u^{(1)} = F_\beta K_\beta, \quad u_1^{(1)} = \mathrm{ad}\,(F_\alpha)(F_\beta K_\beta) \tag{10.1.2}$$

and $\mathrm{ad}\,(F_\alpha^{(2)})(F_\beta K_\beta)=0$ by [Jan96, Lemma 4.18] so that the set $\{u^{(1)},\, u_1^{(1)}\}$ spans a copy of $\mathcal{F}_1$ inside U^-.

Let $M(\lambda)$ denote the Verma module for $U_\nu(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ with highest weight $\lambda\in\Lambda$ defined by

$$M(\lambda):=U/\left(\sum_{\nu\in\Pi}UE_\nu+\sum_{\nu\in\Pi}U(K_\nu-T^{\nu\cdot\nu/2}v^{(\lambda,\nu)})\right).$$

Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the image of 1 in the quotient $M(\lambda)$. Set

$$M(\lambda)^\nu:=\{m\in M(\lambda)\,|\,K_\gamma m=T^{\nu\cdot\nu/2}v^{(\gamma,\lambda)}\text{ for all }\gamma\in\Pi\}.$$

The following is a quantum analogue of a result Shapovalov's:

10.1. **Proposition** ([Lus93, Proposition 19.1.2]). *For any $\lambda\in\Lambda$ there exists a unique symmetric bilinear form $M(\lambda)\times M(\lambda)\to R$ such that*

(1) $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})=1$*;*
(2) $(ux,y)=(x,\varrho(u)y)$ *for all* $x,y\in M(\lambda)$ *and* $u\in\mathbf{U}$*.*

Moreover $(x,y)=0$ *if* $x\in M(\lambda)^\nu$ *and* $y\in M(\lambda)^{\nu'}$ *with* $\nu\neq\nu'\in\Lambda$.

Since the Shapovalov form is ϱ-invariant it must be an induced form when restricted to a $U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})$-summand isomorphic to $U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{F}_n$. Here $\mathcal{F}_n$ is any ad-invariant irreducible summand of $\mathbf{U}$. To illustrate what is going on in the paper we use the copy of $\mathcal{F}_1$ given in (10.1.2), taking into account that we need to use the ${}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}:\mathcal{F}_n\otimes U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{1}\to U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{F}_n$ (we will use f as defined in (4.1.4)). More precisely let us determine $\boldsymbol{\beta}:\mathcal{F}_1\otimes\mathcal{F}_1^{\rho_1}\to U_v(\mathfrak{a})$ satisfying

$$(um,u'n)=\chi_{\boldsymbol{\beta},\phi_M}({}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(u\otimes m),{}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(u'\otimes n))\tag{10.1.3}$$

where $u,u'\in\mathcal{F}_1$, $m,n\in M:=U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{1}$ and ϕ_M is the Shapovalov form on the Verma $U_v(\mathfrak{a})$-module of highest weight Tv^{-1} with respect to K_α (T with respect to K_β) and is normalized so that $\phi_M(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})=1$. Note that the linear map $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ has a bold font to distinguish it from the root β. Since

$$\mathcal{F}_1\otimes\mathcal{F}_1^{\rho_1}\cong\mathcal{F}_0\oplus\mathcal{F}_2,$$

the problem then is to find $r_i\in\mathbb{C}[T]$ with

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}=r_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{1,1}+r_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{1,1}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2r}^{1,1}(u^{(a)})=\delta_{2r,a}E_\alpha^{(r)}K_\alpha^{-r}.$$

First of all (for $\mathbf{1}$ the highest weight vector) using (1.0.3),

$$(F_\beta K_\beta\mathbf{1},F_\beta K_\beta\mathbf{1})=T^2(\mathbf{1},\varrho(F_\beta)F_\beta\mathbf{1})=T^2v(\mathbf{1},K_\beta^{-1}E_\beta F_\beta\mathbf{1})=vT[T;0].$$

Now we use f as (4.1.4)

$${}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(F_\beta K_\beta\otimes\mathbf{1})=f(1,-1)^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes F_\beta K_\beta.$$

which has as a consequence

$$\begin{aligned}(F_\beta K_\beta\mathbf{1},F_\beta K_\beta\mathbf{1})&=\chi_{\boldsymbol{\beta},\phi_M}(\mathbf{s}\circ\Pi_f^{-1}(F_\beta K_\beta\otimes\mathbf{1}),\mathbf{s}\circ\Pi_f^{-1}(F_\beta K_\beta\otimes\mathbf{1}))\\&=f(1,-1)^{-2}\phi_M(\mathbf{1},\rho_1\boldsymbol{\beta}(F_\beta K_\beta\otimes F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}).\end{aligned}$$

So by Corollary 7.2 we get

(10.1.4)
$$f(1,-1)^{-2}\rho_1\boldsymbol{\beta}(F_\beta K_\beta\otimes F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}=f(1,-1)^{-2}\left(\frac{r_0}{[2]}+\frac{vr_2}{[2]}[T,-1]\right)\mathbf{1}=vT[T;0]\mathbf{1}$$

Next by (10.1.1)
$$(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1},\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1})=vT[T;0]+v^2T^3[T;-1].$$

On the other hand Corollary 7.2 gives us

$$f(-1,-1)^{-2}\rho_1\left(r_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{1,1}+r_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{1,1}\right)(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\otimes\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta))\mathbf{1}=\left(vT[T;0]+v^2T^3[T;-1]\right)\mathbf{1}\tag{10.1.5}$$

Using the equations (10.1.4) and (10.1.5) we finish the determination of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$:
$$\boldsymbol{\beta}=f(1,-1)^2\left(T^2(T+T^{-1})[T;0]+vT^5[T;-1])\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{1,1}-T^2(\{1\}[T;0]+T^3)\boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{1,1}\right).$$

Here however we have only determined one $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for one $U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})$-summand of $M(-\varpi_\alpha)$. In future we plan to investigate the other $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ that appear.

10.2. **Verma Modules for $U_\nu(\mathfrak{sp}(4))$.** Let
$$\Phi=\{\pm\alpha,\pm\beta,\pm(\alpha+\beta),\pm(2\alpha+\beta)\}$$
be the root system of type B_2 with $\Pi=\{\alpha,\beta\}$ a set of simple roots, α short, β long, W the Weyl group, and $(\ ,\ )$ the unique W-invariant form defined on Φ with $(\alpha,\beta)=-2$, $(\alpha,\alpha)=2$ and $(\beta,\beta)=4$, so that $\langle\alpha,\check{\beta}\rangle=-1, \langle\beta,\check{\alpha}\rangle=-2$. Let ϖ_γ denote a fundamental weight with respect to Π, $\gamma\in\Pi$, and let the weight lattice of Φ be $\Lambda=\sum_{\gamma\in\Pi}\mathbb{Z}\varpi_\gamma$. Then $q_\alpha=q$ and $q_\beta=q^2$.

The quantum enveloping algebra $U_v(\mathfrak{sp}(4))$ is defined to be the associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[v,v^{-1}]$ with generators E_γ, F_γ, K_γ and K_γ^{-1}, $(\gamma\in\Pi)$ subject to the relations (R1)-(R3) but with a different Serre relation:
$$E_\gamma^3E_\nu-[3]_\gamma E_\gamma^2E_\nu E_\gamma+[3]_\gamma E_\gamma E_\nu E_\gamma^2-E_\nu E_\gamma^3=0\qquad\text{for }\gamma\neq\nu$$
$$F_\gamma^3F_\nu-[3]_\gamma F_\gamma^2F_\nu F_\gamma+[3]_\gamma F_\gamma F_\nu F_\gamma^2-F_\nu F_\gamma^3=0\qquad\text{for }\gamma\neq\nu$$
where $\gamma,\nu\in\Pi$. $U=U_v(\mathfrak{sp}(4)))$ and let U^+ (resp. U^-) denote the subalgebra of U generated by E_ν (resp. F_ν) with $\nu\in\Phi$. Moreover let $U_v(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the subalgebra generated by $E_\alpha,F_\alpha,K_\gamma$ with $\gamma\in\Pi$. Let $\rho_1:\mathbf{U}\to\mathbf{U}$ be the algebra isomorphism determined by the assignment
$$\rho_1(E_\gamma)=-v_\gamma F_\gamma,\quad\rho_1(F_\gamma)=-v_\gamma^{-1}E_\gamma,\quad\rho_1(K_\gamma)=K_\gamma^{-1}\tag{10.2.1}$$
for all $\gamma\in\Pi$. Define also an algebra anti-automorphism $\varrho:\mathbf{U}\to\mathbf{U}$ by
$$\varrho(E_\gamma)=v_\gamma K_\gamma F_\gamma,\quad\varrho(F_\gamma)=v_\gamma K_\gamma^{-1}E_\gamma,\quad\varrho(K_\gamma)=K_\gamma.\tag{10.2.2}$$
These maps are related through the antipode S of $\mathbf{U}$ by $\varrho=\rho_1S$.

We will now consider (a $U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})$-submodule of) $M(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta)$ which has three Verma submodules $M(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-2\beta)$, $M(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-4\alpha-2\beta)$, and $M(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-4\alpha-4\beta)$ due to the fact that
$$s_\beta(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta+\rho)-\rho=-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-2\beta,$$
$$s_\alpha(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-2\beta+\rho)-\rho=-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-4\alpha-2\beta,$$
$$s_\beta(-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-2\beta-4\alpha+\rho)-\rho=-\varpi_\alpha+\varpi_\beta-4\alpha-4\beta.$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{ad}(F_\alpha)(F_\beta K_\beta) = (F_\alpha F_\beta - v^2 F_\beta F_\alpha)K_{\alpha+\beta} \text{ and} \tag{10.2.3}$$

$$\operatorname{ad}(F_\alpha^{(2)})(F_\beta K_\beta) = \Big(F_\alpha^{(2)} F_\beta - vF_\alpha F_\beta F_\alpha + v^2 F_\beta F_\alpha^{(2)}\Big)K_{2\alpha+\beta}. \tag{10.2.4}$$

In the notation of section §5, we have under the adjoint action on U,

$$u^{(2)} = F_\beta K_\beta, \quad u_1^{(2)} = \operatorname{ad}(F_\alpha)(F_\beta K_\beta), \quad u_2^{(2)} = \operatorname{ad}(F_\alpha^{(2)})(F_\beta K_\beta) \tag{10.2.5}$$

and $\operatorname{ad}(F_\alpha^{(3)})(F_\beta K_\beta) = 0$ by [Jan96, Lemma 4.18] so that the set $\{u^{(2)}, u_1^{(2)}, u_2^{(2)}\}$ spans a copy of $\mathcal{F}_2$ inside U^-. Let us determine $\boldsymbol{\beta} : \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2^{\rho_1} \to U_v(\mathfrak{a})$ satisfying

$$(um, u'n) = \chi_{\boldsymbol{\beta},\phi_M}({}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(u \otimes m), {}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(u' \otimes n)) \tag{10.2.6}$$

where $u, u' \in \mathcal{F}_1$, $m, n \in M := U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{1}$ and ϕ_M is the Shapovalov form on the Verma $U_v(\mathfrak{a})$-module of highest weight $v^{-1}T$ with respect to K_α (v^2T with respect to K_β - this is because $(\varpi_\beta, \beta) = 2$ and $(\varpi_\alpha, \alpha) = 1$) and is normalized so that $\phi_M(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = 1$. Since

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2^{\rho_1} \cong \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_2 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4$$

the problem then is to find $r_i \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ with

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = r_0 \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{2,2} + r_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{2,2} + r_4 \boldsymbol{\beta}_4^{2,2}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2r}^{2,2}(u^{(a)}) = \delta_{2r,a} E_\alpha^{(r)} K_\alpha^{-r}.$$

First of all (for $\mathbf{1}$ the highest weight vector) using (10.2.2),

$$(F_\beta K_\beta \mathbf{1}, F_\beta K_\beta \mathbf{1}) = v^2 T^4 (\mathbf{1}, \varrho(F_\beta) F_\beta \mathbf{1}) = T^4 v^4 (\mathbf{1}, K_\beta^{-1} E_\beta F_\beta \mathbf{1}) = v^3 T^2 [T^2; 1]_\beta.$$

Next from Corollary 7.2 (with $m = n = 2$) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(r_0 \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{1,1} + r_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{2,2} + r_4 \boldsymbol{\beta}_4^{2,2}\Big)&((\operatorname{ad} F_\alpha^{(i)})F_\beta K_\beta \otimes (\operatorname{ad} F_\alpha^{(i)})F_\beta K_\beta) \\ &= (-1)^i v^{2i(i-3)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2} r_{2k} \frac{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} T;-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix}\right]}{||u^{(2k)}||^2 \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2k \\ i & 2-i & k \end{bmatrix} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Now we use f as (4.1.4)

$${}_f\mathcal{R}^{-1}(F_\beta K_\beta \otimes \mathbf{1}) = f(2,-1)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \otimes F_\beta K_\beta.$$

which has as a consequence

$$\begin{aligned}(F_\beta K_\beta \mathbf{1}, F_\beta K_\beta \mathbf{1}) &= \chi_{\boldsymbol{\beta},\phi_M}(\mathbf{s} \circ \Pi_f^{-1}(F_\beta K_\beta \otimes \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{s} \circ \Pi_f^{-1}(F_\beta K_\beta \otimes \mathbf{1})) \\ &= f(2,-1)^{-2} \phi_M(\mathbf{1}, \rho_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}(F_\beta K_\beta \otimes F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}).\end{aligned}$$

From this simple calculation and Corollary 7.2 we have

(10.2.7)

$$f(2,-1)^{-2} \rho_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}(F_\beta K_\beta \otimes F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1} = f(2,-1)^{-2} \left(\frac{r_0}{[3]} + \frac{v^2\, r_2}{[4]}[T;-1] + \frac{v^4 [2]\, r_4}{[4][3]} \begin{bmatrix} T;-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathbf{1}$$

$$= v^4 T^2 [T^2; 1]_\beta \mathbf{1} \tag{10.2.8}$$

Next by (10.2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}) &= ((F_\alpha F_\beta - v^2 F_\beta F_\alpha)K_{\alpha+\beta}\mathbf{1}, (F_\alpha F_\beta - v^2 F_\beta F_\alpha)K_{\alpha+\beta}\mathbf{1}) \\ &= vT^2[2][T^2; 1]_\beta + v^6 T^5 [T; -1].\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}) = f(0,-1)^{-2}\phi_M(\mathbf{1}, \rho_1\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta) \otimes \mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta))\mathbf{1}).$$

Again from Corollary 7.2 we obtain

(10.2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(2,-1)^{-2}v^2T^{-2}\rho_1\left(r_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{2,2} + r_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{2,2} + r_4\boldsymbol{\beta}_4^{2,2}\right)(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta) \otimes \mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta K_\beta))\mathbf{1} \\
&\quad = f(2,-1)^{-2}v^2T^{-2}\left(\frac{r_0v^{-2}[2]}{[3]} + \frac{r_2v^{-1}[2]\{1\}}{[4]}\begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\1\end{bmatrix} - r_4v^{-1}[2]\begin{bmatrix}4\\2\end{bmatrix}^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{bmatrix}\right)\mathbf{1} \\
&\quad = \left(vT^2[2][T^2;1]_\beta + v^6T^5[T;-1]\right)\mathbf{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thirdly we have by a rather tedious calculation and Lemma 6.1

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha^{(2)}(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{ad}F_\alpha^{(2)}(F_\beta K_\beta)\mathbf{1}) \\
&\qquad = T^4\Big(v^4\left(T^{-2} - T^{-1}[T,-1] + \begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{bmatrix}\right)[T^2,1]_\beta \\
&\qquad\quad + v^5(T^{-1} - v[T;-2])[T,-1][T^2;2]_\beta + v^6\begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{bmatrix}[T^2;3]_\beta\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 7.2 we get

(10.2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(-2,-1)^{-2}\rho_1\left(r_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^{2,2} + r_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2^{2,2} + r_4\boldsymbol{\beta}_4^{2,2}\right)(\mathrm{ad}F_\alpha(F_\beta^{(2)}K_\beta) \otimes \mathrm{ad}F_\alpha^{(2)}(F_\beta K_\beta))\mathbf{1} \\
&\qquad = f(2,-1)^{-2}v^4T^{-4}\left(\frac{v^{-2}r_0}{[3]} - \frac{r_2v^{-2}}{[4]}\begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\1\end{bmatrix} + r_4v^{-4}\begin{bmatrix}4\\2\end{bmatrix}^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\qquad = T^4\Big(v^4\left(T^{-2} - T^{-1}[T,-1] + \begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{bmatrix}\right)[T^2,1]_\beta \\
&\qquad\quad + v^5(T^{-1} - v[T;-2])[T,-1][T^2;2]_\beta + v^6\begin{bmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{bmatrix}[T^2;3]_\beta\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

From equations (10.2.7), (10.2.9) and (10.2.10) we determine the coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0 \\ r_2[T,-1] \\ r_4\left[\begin{smallmatrix}T;-1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{pmatrix} = f\begin{pmatrix} v^{-2} & \frac{v^2}{[2]} & v^4 \\ \frac{[2]}{v^2} & v\{1\} & -v^2[2] \\ 1 & -v & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} v^4T^2[T^2;1]_\beta \\ vT^4[2][T^2;1]_\beta + v^6T^7[T;-1] \\ T^{11}\left(Tv^5\{1\} + [T^3,1]_\beta + T^4v^4[T,-1]_\beta\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $f = f(2,-1)^2$. Here however we have only determined the $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for one $U_\nu(\mathfrak{a})$-summand of $M(-\varpi_\alpha + \varpi_\beta)$. In future we plan to determine the coefficients for the other $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ that appear.

Index

ρ_1, 2
ϱ, 2
$\beta_{2r}^{m,n}$, 17
$F^{(-k)}\eta$, 13
$U_v(\mathfrak{a})$, 37
$\mathcal{F}_m$, 10
L, L^{-1}, 6
$\mathcal{L}$, 6
$\mathcal{L}_{2n}$, 17
Λ, 37
$[n]_\alpha$, 37
$[T;r]^{(j)}$, $\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{1}{T;r}{j}$, 4

References

[Ark04] Sergey Arkhipov. Algebraic construction of contragradient quasi-Verma modules in positive characteristic. In *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, volume 40 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 27–68. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.

[AS03] Henning Haahr Andersen and Catharina Stroppel. Twisting functors on $\mathcal{O}$. *Represent. Theory*, 7:681–699 (electronic), 2003.

[CE95] Ben Cox and Thomas J. Enright. Representations of quantum groups defined over commutative rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(6):2215–2254, 1995.

[Enr95] Thomas J. Enright. Representations of Lie algebras defined over commutative rings. I. *J. Algebra*, 172(3):640–670, 1995.

[Jan96] Jens Carsten Jantzen. *Lectures on quantum groups*, volume 6 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[JL94] Anthony Joseph and Gail Letzter. Separation of variables for quantized enveloping algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 116(1):127–177, 1994.

[Jos95] Anthony Joseph. *Quantum groups and their primitive ideals*, volume 29 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[Kas95] Christian Kassel. *Quantum groups*, volume 155 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[KR89] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin. Representations of the algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}(2))$, q-orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links. In *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988)*, volume 7 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 285–339. World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[Lus93] George Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*, volume 110 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[Ma93] Zhong Qi Ma. *Yang-Baxter equation and quantum enveloping algebras*, volume 1 of *Advanced Series on Theoretical Physical Science*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1993.

Department of Mathematics, The Graduate School of the College of Charleston, 66 George Street, Charleston SC 29424
E-mail address: `coxbl@cofc.edu`
URL: `math.cofc.edu/faculty/cox/`

Department of Mathematics, University of California at San Diego,, La Jolla, CA. 92093
E-mail address: `tenright@cmath.ucsd.edu`